

1226 Pickens Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 info@garberreporting.com Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

# PROCEEDINGS

November 27, 2018

**Judicial Merit Selection Commission, 2018** 

**REPORTER:** Jennifer Nottle

1	STATE OF SOUT	H CAROLINA )
2	COUNTY OF RIC	HLAND )
3		
4		* * * * *
5	J	UDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
6		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
7		* * * * *
8	BEFORE: SEN	ATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
9	REP	RESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, JR.
10	SEN	ATOR RONNIE A. SABB
11	SEN	ATOR TOM YOUNG, JR.
12	MR.	ROBERT W. HAYES, JR.
13	REP	RESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
14	REP	RESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY
15	MR.	MICHAEL HITCHCOCK
16	MS.	LUCY GREY MCIVER
17	MR.	ANDREW N. SAFRAN
18	ERI	N B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
19		* * * * *
20	DATE :	November 27th, 2018
21	TIME:	9:30 a.m.
22	LOCATION:	Gressette Building
23		1101 Pendleton Street
24		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25	REPORTED BY:	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER

Page 2

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	
4	HONORABLE W. MARSH ROBERTSON
5	- Examination by Mr. Appleby8
б	- Examination by Chairman Rankin18
7	- Examination by Representative Smith21
8	- Examination by Representative Rutherford25
9	- Examination by Senator Young
10	- Examination by Mr. Safran28
11	- Examination by Chairman Rankin
12	RAME L. CAMPBELL
13	- Examination by Mr. Franklin
14	- Examination by Chairman Rankin
15	- Examination by Representative Murphy65
16	- Examination by Senator Young68
17	KIMAKA NICHOLS-GRAHAM
18	- Examination by Ms. Crawford73
19	- Examination by Chairman Rankin83
20	- Examination by Senator Young
21	- Examination by Senator Sabb
22	- Examination by Mr. Hitchcock
23	HONORABLE JESSICA ANN SALVINI
24	- Examination by Mr. Appleby104
25	- Examination by Representative Smith

Page 4

1	* * * *
1	
2	EXHIBIT INDEX
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
4	EXHIBIT NO. 1
5	- HON. W. MARSH ROBERTSON - PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE
6	(14 PAGES)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 2
8	- HON. W. MARSH ROBERTSON - SWORN STATEMENT (7 PAGES)
9	EXHIBIT NO. 5
10	- RAME L. CAMPBELL - PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE (17
11	PAGES)
12	EXHIBIT NO. 6
13	- RAME L. CAMPBELL - SWORN STATEMENT (6 PAGES)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 13
15	- KIMAKA NICHOLS-GRAHAM - PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE (20
16	PAGES)
17	EXHIBIT NO. 14
18	- KIMAKA NICHOLS GRAHAM - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA
19	QUESTIONNAIRE (2 PAGES)
20	EXHIBIT NO. 15
21	- KIMAKA NICHOLS-GRAHAM - SWORN STATEMENT (5 PAGES)
22	EXHIBIT NO. 16
23	- HON. JESSICA ANN SALVINI - PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE
24	(26 PAGES)
25	EXHIBIT NO. 17103

1	- HON.	JESSICA	ANN	SALVINI	-	SWORN	STATEMENT	(5	PAGES)
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

Page 6

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: By motion of Representative Smith,
2	seconded by Ms. McIver, we're going to go into
3	executive session.
4	(Executive Session.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. We're going to go back
6	on the record, and I want to make sure for the
7	record that while in executive session no votes
8	were taken, no decisions were made. And now we
9	will proceed to Judge Robertson.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Can we go back into
11	executive session?
12	MS. CRAWFORD: We need to go back in?
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yeah, yeah.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, excuse us. We
15	JUDGE ROBERTSON: I apologize. I just walked in.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That's all right. We pulled the
17	trigger incorrectly there. Motion made by
18	Representative Rutherford to go back in executive
19	session, seconded by Senator Hayes. I apologize
20	for you.
21	(Executive Session.)
22	HONORABLE W. MARSH ROBERTSON
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, if you will raise your right
24	hand.
25	HONORABLE W. MARSH ROBERTSON, having been duly sworn,

1 testifies as follows: 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have completed two documents for 3 us, the Sworn Statement and the Personal Data 4 Ouestionnaire. Are they ready to be introduced 5 into the record? 6 JUDGE ROBERTSON: Yes, they are. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes that need to be made? 8 JUDGE ROBERTSON: None. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to 10 Lindi, they will be made a part of the record. 11 You are familiar with this process, and our nine 12 evaluative criteria we look at. Specifically 13 we're looking at the ballot box survey, a 14 thorough study of your application materials, 15 verification of your compliance with state ethics 16 laws, a search of newspaper articles in which 17 your name appears, a study of previous 18 screenings, and a check for economic conflicts of 19 interest. To your credit, no affidavits have 20 been filed in opposition to your candidacy, and 21 no one has requested to be present to speak. Ιt 22 is your show. You've already introduced to us 23 your wife. We're glad she's here. You now have 24 the opportunity to make an ever so brief opening 25 statement if you want. Otherwise, Mr. Appleby is

Page 8

ĺ	
1	ready to begin this process.
2	(Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification
3	purposes - (14 pages) Hon. W. Marsh Robertson -
4	Personal Data Questionnaire.)
5	(Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification
6	purposes - (7 pages) Hon. W. Marsh Robertson - Sworn
7	Statement.)
8	JUDGE ROBERTSON: Well, I consider it an honor to be
9	part of the South Carolina judiciary. I consider
10	our system to be among, if not the best in the
11	nation. And I recognize that this commission
12	plays a big part in that, and I just want to
13	express my gratitude to the members and the
14	staff.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much. Mr. Appleby?
16	JUDGE ROBERTSON - EXAMINATION BY MR. APPLEBY:
17	Q. Judge Robertson, after serving eight years on the
18	family court, why do you want to continue serving
19	as a family court judge?
20	A. In four words, I love my job. It's it's not a
21	job for everybody. It certainly comes with a lot
22	of stressors, but it I enjoy the challenge of
23	it. I consider it the most interesting job in
24	the world and probably the most rewarding. And I
25	feel like this job is the perfect job for me, and

Γ

Page 9

1		I like to think that I'm a good fit for the job.
2		And I hope to keep doing it for a long, long
3		time.
4	Q.	Please explain one or two brief accomplishments
5		you feel you have completed during your tenure
6		and then a goal you would like to accomplish if
7		reelected.
8	Α.	It's hard to single out one accomplishment, it's
9		more cumulative. I just feel like I have shown
10		the type of commitment, the type of open-
11		mindedness, the type of fairness, that I would
12		hope for if I were a litigant walking into a
13		courtroom and facing a judge. In other words, if
14		I were to flip the role, I would be happy to
15		appear before me, and I think that's an
16		accomplishment. As far as goals go, I've got a
17		fairly aggressive goal. I started this job
18		wanting to end my career doing this, stay on the
19		family court, and do it as long as I could
20		continue doing it. And when I retire, I'd like
21		to be remembered with a legacy of being one of
22		the best family court judges that the state has
23		seen. And that's as I said, it's a lofty
24		goal, but it keeps me motivated.
25	Q.	Judge Robertson, since your last screening in

1		November 2009, have there been any lawsuits filed
2		against you?
3	Α.	None that I'm aware of.
4	Q.	What do you think your reputation is among
5		attorneys that practice before you?
6	Α.	I believe I've got an excellent reputation among
7		the attorneys as all.
8	Q.	Judge Robertson, the commission received 378
9		ballot box surveys regarding you with 20
10		additional comments. The ballot box survey, for
11		example, contained the following positive
12		comments: Judge Robertson runs a proper courtroom
13		and is accommodating to all lawyers and parties.
14		He is also knowledgeable regarding the law; A
15		true asset to the bench; He is extremely
16		intelligent and knowledgeable about the law;
17		Grasps issues very quickly; He has a wonderful
18		temperament; He is very efficient and hard
19		working. There were two written comments that
20		expressed concerns. The first comment indicated
21		you were lazy, unprepared, and had a hard time
22		applying the law. What response would you offer
23		to this concern?
24	Α.	Well, first I will say that I heard all the
25		positive comments that you read me when we met,

1	and I received a number of positive comments when
2	I met with the bar in September. And I was
3	delighted that they told me I had 27 phone calls,
4	all positive comments and no negative ones. But
5	I will be honest with you, I don't remember the
б	positive comments after you'd read me, at our
7	interview, the two negative ones. Because I'm
8	the kind of person that wants everybody to like
9	me. And unfortunately I've got a job where
10	that's not possible. And obviously two people
11	came up with negative comments, and I'm hurt and
12	unhappy that there are two lawyers out there, but
13	I'm on the other hand I'm glad it's only two,
14	and that there were so many others that said the
15	polar opposite of what the negative commenters
16	said. As far as those specific charges, I'm
17	prepared to go for quite a bit of time on each
18	one of those points. I'll try to be brief. I'm
19	proud of my work ethic. I consider my work ethic
20	second to none on the family court bench, which I
21	think means that it's second to none among the
22	state judges. Because I think we all know, or
23	most people recognize, that family court judges
24	have the hardest dockets and one of the hardest
25	job of the state judges. In Greenville it's

1	recognized statewide as the most difficult
2	assignment to have, and I am in Greenville pretty
3	much all the time now. We're not traveling. I
4	go into the office every day before work, and I
5	review every single file that involves contested
6	issues that I have a general background of what's
7	going on, the facts, the length of marriage, the
8	number of children, and what the contested issues
9	are. A lot of judges do that. I think what sets
10	me apart from other judges in terms of dedication
11	and commitment and work ethic is that I sort of
12	develop a self-tortuous habit of writing my own
13	orders in contested cases, when I have every
14	right to assign it to one of the attorneys. And
15	really more often than not, if I have a contested
16	case of any significance, I end up starting by
17	writing instructions and then just realize it's
18	easier to write the order, and I write a ten page
19	order or fifteen page order. And it takes hours
20	and hours of time, and that's not something that
21	someone who's lazy does, and it's not someone
22	who's afraid of preparation does. I think it's
23	symbolic of my dedication to the job and my work
24	ethic. As far as knowledge of the law, I'll
25	simply say that I hope I have a knowledge of the

Γ

1	law. If I don't, it's my fault. Before I became
2	a judge, I practiced for 22 years, the last 20
3	years of which I practiced nothing but family
4	law. And for, I would say, the last several of
5	those, seven or eight, I did complex litigation.
6	I was a fellow in the American Academy of
7	Matrimonial Lawyers. And I will assure you that
8	you don't a look for a fellowship, much less
9	voted in, if you don't have a mastery of family
10	court law. I get asked to speak regularly at
11	seminars, CLEs, to my fellow lawyers about my
12	knowledge of family law, sharing that. I get
13	asked to speak, to fellow judges. I get calls
14	from judges statewide on a regular basis asking
15	me to you know, bouncing things off me about
16	what I think about the law in certain scenarios
17	or procedure involved. We used to take a test
18	for this job. And I took the test, I think,
19	three times, and I think maybe it was four. I
20	think it was three, and all three times I was
21	either the top scorer of all family court
22	applicants or top three, and I think twice it was
23	top. So I like to think I have a very good
24	knowledge of the law, and I'm sorry somebody
25	feels like that I have difficulty applying the

Γ

1		law, but I just and I'm open to criticism, but
2		that particular criticism I don't get.
3	Q.	Judge Robertson, the second comment indicated you
4		were highly intelligent but have poor judicial
5		temperament. What response would you offer to
6		this concern regarding your temperament?
7	Α.	Well, the first that's the first time I've
8		heard that one. I don't believe that was read to
9		me at our interview, and I'm sorry somebody feels
10		that way. I hope that if they do, that they
11		caught me on a bad day, and I would hope that it
12		would not be someone that has sat before me on a
13		regular basis. I think that people who see me
14		regularly would disagree strongly with that
15		assessment. Temperament is extremely important
16		to me, and I'm conscious of it all day every day
17		that I work. And I try to I value again, I
18		want to be the judge that creates a level of
19		comfort in a very uncomfortable situation. And I
20		work hard at that, and I try to be patient. I
21		try to be courteous. I try to be interested and
22		attentive, and if I fail to do that and some
23		lawyer picked up on that, then I feel really bad
24		about that. And I will continue to be mindful
25		and continue working to be better.

1	Q.	Judge Robertson, you were last screened in
2		November 2009, and at that time you championed
3		mediation as a method of improving the backlog of
4		cases that exist on the docket in most family
5		court. Have you changed your opinion since that
6		time, and if so, please explain?
7	Α.	Well, if my opinion's changed, it's become even
8		more pro mediation than it was before and I've
9		shared with this commission in the past that when
10		mediation was first being made mandatory in South
11		Carolina, I was anti-mandatory mediation and
12		vocally so, and I was proven wrong. And I
13		recognized that very quickly after it became
14		mandatory in Greenville. And I'm delighted that
15		the rest of the state has finally moved to
16		mandatory mediation statewide. The reason that
17		mediation is such a good thing is because
18		mediation leads to settlement. The numbers are
19		higher in terms of statistics, more cases settle
20		that go through mediation. And the settlements
21		occur earlier, which is a good thing for so many
22		reasons. Settlement is obviously a good thing in
23		family court, in particular because I mean,
24		there are obvious reasons why litigants would
25		hope for settlement. They save time, they save

1	money, they save stress. Settlement allows the
2	wounds that have opened to heal and prevents
3	other wounds from forming through contested
4	litigation when people have to go into court and
5	say ugly things about the other parent of their
б	child or family members and someone that they
7	were once married to or are still married to.
8	Settlement gives the litigants the opportunity to
9	shape their own lives rather than leaving it to a
10	stranger. And of course it's going to require
11	compromise and concession, but it allows the
12	litigants to decide where those lines are drawn
13	as opposed to a stranger. And similarly, it
14	allows the parents to shape the lives of their
15	children instead of a stranger. We have great
16	judges in South Carolina. And we're going to do
17	the best we can but we have there's no way
18	that we can know everything that the parties and
19	their attorneys' know. We're limited by rules of
20	evidence. We're limited by time constraints.
21	The best people to resolve the issues are the
22	litigants if they can. And if they can't, that's
23	what we're there for, and we'll do it. But
24	anything that promotes more settlement and
25	anything that promotes faster settlement is a

1		good thing, and mediation does both.
2	Q.	Just a few housekeeping issues. Judge Robertson,
3		since submitting your letter of intent, have you
4		contacted any members of the commission about
5		your candidacy?
6	Α.	I have not.
7	Q.	Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
8		the limitations on contacting members of the
9		general assembly regarding your screening?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
12		sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
13		either prior to this date or pending the outcome
14		of your screening?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact
17		members of the general assembly on your behalf,
18		or are you aware of anyone attempting to
19		intervene in this process on your behalf?
20	Α.	I have not.
21	Q.	Have you reviewed, and do you understand, the
22		commission's guidelines on pledging in South
23		Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)?
24	Α.	I have read and familiarized myself with those
25		codes.
	1	

Γ

Page 18

1	MR.	APPLEBY: I would note that the Upstate Citizens
2		Committee reported Judge Robertson to be well
3		qualified in the evaluation criteria of ethical
4		fitness, professional and academic ability,
5		character, reputation, experience, and judicial
6		temperament and qualified in the remaining
7		evaluative criteria of constitutional
8		qualifications, physical health, and mental
9		stability. I would not for the record that any
10		concerns raised during the investigation
11		regarding the candidate were incorporated into
12		the questioning of the candidate today. Mr.
13		Chairman, I have no further questions.
14	JUDGE ROB	ERTSON - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
15	Q.	Very well. Judge I just want to ask you can
16		we go off the record a second?
17		(Off the record.)
18	Q.	I am extremely proud to read, though slightly
19		disappointed, given the score last night of the
20		Wofford/Carolina game, that you have a rising
21		senior at Wofford, at least one who's been there,
22		correct?
23	Α.	Now a senior. And I got a text last night before
24		I knew about the score to that game, it was
25		excited, a lot of exclamation points, she was

Page 19

1		very excited about that as well.
2	Q.	I'd never heard of this modern day Hoover, and
3		neither had Carolina, apparently, before that
4		performance last night. That's a great a
5		great feat and beautiful, great school. You also
6		have a child who is hanging out in D.C. and
7		serving as a law clerk with the Supreme Court of
8		the United States.
9	Α.	I do. I'm very proud of that. It's a good job
10		if you can get it.
11	Q.	Who is which child?
12	Α.	My daughter, Ashley, my oldest.
13	Q.	Ashley Robertson, and she's going to be clerking
14		with which justice?
15	Α.	She is clerking right now with Justice Kagan.
16	Q.	Wow, wow. Congratulations to both of you for
17		that. Y'all have obviously grown up a great
18		family. So you have a total of how many
19		children?
20	Α.	Three children, the youngest at Wofford. I have
21		the oldest, who's in Washington, D.C. and my son
22		who is the middle child.
23	Q.	And that was a former baseball player?
24	Α.	Still a baseball player. He's playing minor
25		league baseball now.

Γ

Page 20

1	Q.	And what position does William pronounce the
2		middle name.
3	A.	Kesnick.
4	Q.	Kesnick. What position does he play?
5	Α.	He is an outfielder.
6	Q.	And his I don't know well, Baltimore
7		Orioles. Has he been up, been called up yet?
8	Α.	No, no. He was a senior sign out of Davidson
9		College a year and a half ago after having a
10		really big season. He was not anticipated being
11		drafted going into the season, but he had a great
12		season and got drafted. And he got through
13		rookie ball and made it up at the end of rookie
14		ball to the low A. And then last year he played
15		single A, the same he played against the
16		Columbia Fireflies and Greenville Drive, and so
17		that level.
18	Q.	Very good.
19	Α.	And he goes to spring training to find out where
20		he ends up or where he starts the season this
21		year.
22	Q.	And Eileen Gibson?
23	A.	The Wofford student.
24	Q.	Wofford. Does she want to either be a law clerk
25		at the Supreme Court or run a baseball operation

1		or do something in that field?
2	Α.	She is doing her own thing. She's a psychology
3		and Spanish double major, I believe.
4	Q.	Wow, okay. With some of that personal stuff out
5		of the way, I want to turn it over to
6		Representative Smith and any questions he has.
7	JUDGE ROB	ERTSON - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH:
8	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge, appreciate you
9		being here today and appreciate your remarks,
10		especially on some of these comments. And you
11		saw when I was looking through this. And I just
12		want to tell you while you heard some negative
13		comments, the majority of them, as you mentioned,
14		were glowingly positive. And so and one thing
15		I look at when I deal with this and these
16		aren't determinative on anybody's qualifications,
17		but they do show a pattern at some point when
18		your peers critique and you only had two people
19		who criticized out of 217 who found you
20		unqualified as to your temperament. And as you
21		know as a practicing lawyer, judicial temperament
22		is probably one of the most important things for
23		a judge to possess, and obviously you have a
24		wonderful judicial temperament. So I want to
25		bring that to your attention.

1	A.	Thank you.
2	Q.	I want to thank you for what you're doing. And
3		the other thing that when you were discussing
4		that struck me is your distinguished career in
5		practicing law. You practiced law how long
6		before you
7	Α.	I believe it was 22 years, the last 20 of which
8		were exclusively in family law.
9	Q.	And then, obviously, I heard you say you were a
10		member of the American Academy of Matrimonial
11		Lawyers?
12	Α.	For ten years, from 2000 to 2010. I had to
13		resign when I got elected.
14	Q.	Yes, sir. And that is for a select group of
15		matrimonial lawyers in which you have to go and
16		pass some tests and be nominated by your peers.
17		Isn't that how do you become
18	Α.	It's an intense screening process. You do have
19		to be recommended by your peers, several of a
20		large number of attorneys. The judges that you
21		practiced before are contacted. You do take two
22		tests, a national test, a statewide test. The
23		tests are not on the things that we deal with
24		everyday. They're on more complex things,
25		complex federal matters like taxation and

PROCEEDINGS

Γ

Page 23

1		bankruptcy and business valuation. And then
2		you're voted in by the chapter members, and in
3		South Carolina when I resigned there were 25,
4		myself excepted. I thought it was literally the
5		best 24 lawyers in the State of South Carolina
6		that practiced in the area of family law.
7	Q.	And Judge, just for our information, you know,
8		we're blessed to have somebody who has a
9		distinguished career in family law become a
10		family court judge. And as we sit through here,
11		what could the bar do or what could the judiciary
12		do to kind of encourage people similar to you who
13		enjoy a long and distinguished career practice
14		law become a judge in the family court?
15	Α.	Talk to me, and talk to my colleagues if anybody
16		I think the best advocates for our job are the
17		ones who do it. As I said, family court judging
18		is not for everybody. But the one the 56 or
19		58 of us all think it's the greatest job ever,
20		and we tell anybody that asks that. As far as
21		what the bar can do or what the legislature can
22		do, I mean, aside from obvious things like
23	Q.	A raise?
24	Α.	raises. Yeah, like money. I don't know. I
25		haven't put a lot of thought into that. If I had

PROCEEDINGS

Γ

Page 24

1		about ten or 15 minutes, I could probably get
2		back to you with some thoughts. But again, if
3		anybody is interested in what the job is like,
4		talk to a person who's doing it. There's a
5		reason why we're all coming back consistently for
б		reelection and hoping for the opportunity to
7		continue doing what we do.
8	Q.	Judge, I appreciate you offering. I appreciate
9		you giving back to the bar and to the members of
10		the bar and the citizens of South Carolina by
11		becoming a judge. I appreciate the way you've
12		handled this. You were elected in 2010, and
13		you're well qualified on everything. It's just
14		overwhelming.
15	Α.	Thank you very much.
16	Q.	And it's important for us to recognize that when
17		we have a judge up here that's showing the
18		indications that you are, that you're doing a
19		fine job, and I thank you for the services to our
20		state, South Carolina.
21	Α.	Thank you, Representative Smith. I really
22		appreciate those kinds words more than you know.
23	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford?
24	JUDGE ROB	ERTSON - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
25	Q.	Judge, I just want to echo what Representative

Page 25

1		Smith just said, because if not only for the
2		216 that found you well qualified, another 30
3		found you qualified. And I find in my practice -
4		- and I've been doing it for 20 some odd years as
5		well that I stay out of family court because
6		everybody's angry at everybody in there, so for
7		only two people to say anything negative about
8		you is just outstanding. And the fact that you
9		keep the only negative, I guess, is the fact
10		that you keep coming back asking for the same
11		miserable pay over and over again is just beyond
12		me. Because certainly you were doing better in
13		your private practice than we pay you. But you
14		are truly one of the bargains that the state
15		gets, because for what we pay you you do an
16		outstanding job. And I just want to thank you
17		for it.
18	Α.	Thank you, that means a lot.
19	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Senator Young?
20	JUDGE ROE	ERTSON - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
21	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge, I want to ask
22		you a question about abuse and neglect cases.
23	Α.	Yes, sir.
24	Q.	If you could improve the system for the families
25		and the children involved in abuse and neglect

1		cases based on what you've observed in your
2		courtroom and the cases you've presided over and
3		then in your private practice before you ascended
4		to the bench, what would you change?
5	Α.	That's an interesting question. And the problems
6		with the Department of Social Services and the
7		child protection system are well documented, and
8		you read about them. My only perspective of
9		child protection is what I see in the courtroom,
10		and really for the last year and a half my
11		courtroom has been limited to Greenville with a
12		week in Pickens and maybe a week in Spartanburg.
13		So I'm in Greenville. And what I see is
14		positive, and you don't hear that from many
15		judges around the state. And I hear the horror
16		stories that are told by my colleagues on the
17		family court bench, but I don't really see it.
18		In family court in Greenville what I see are some
19		dedicated attorneys for the Department of Social
20		Services who take their job of protecting
21		children very seriously, while also recognizing
22		that a large part of their job is to provide the
23		services to those parents in need for the
24		ultimate goal, the state goal, of reunifying the
25		children with their families. It's a balance

1	that I think our attorneys in Greenville get. I
2	also see attorneys who are appointed almost
3	always, appointed attorneys representing the
4	defendants, the parents and other caretakers.
5	They have very difficult jobs, but I'm impressed
6	with what I've seen, and it seems to be getting
7	better, trending upward. So there are a lot of
8	judges that I think could give you some really
9	good answers, but those are judges that see more
10	problems than I do. And I think things are
11	running really well in Greenville. Obviously if
12	we had more time on the docket to dedicate to
13	child protection, we could move things quicker.
14	And moving those child protection cases as
15	quickly as possible is quite obviously important
16	because we want these children to be in foster
17	care for as short a period of time whether it
18	means getting them back with their families, or
19	if that's not going to happen, getting them to an
20	appropriate home for adoption, but we can only
21	dedicate so many I mean, we could literally
22	fill all five or six courtrooms in Greenville
23	with the child protection cases and run it all
24	day every day, but that wouldn't be fair to the
25	other institutional cases that have to be heard

PROCEEDINGS

Γ

1		that we do and also the private cases. So we
2		have to balance that, and I think in Greenville
3		we're doing a really good job. So, Senator, I
4		wish I had a bit of advice or something that I
5		thought would help, but I think other judges are
6		going to be in a better position to talk about
7		it.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran?
10	JUDGE ROB	ERTSON - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
11	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge Robertson, first
12		I want to reiterate what they've already said. I
13		mean, you have basically distinguished yourself
14		as a lawyer and not missed a beat once you
15		since becoming a judge. And, you know, my
16		question is maybe asking you to give us some
17		feedback. Now I remember you know, your
18		father was a longtime lawyer up in Greenville. I
19		remember him. I was a law clerk with Judge
20		Anderson years ago when he was practicing then.
21		You obviously put your time in, what we call,
22		paying your dues. You found an area of practice,
23		focused on it and excelled in it. And then it
24		really wasn't something you had to do, you chose
25		to come in and say I'm going to become a judge,

Page 29

1		and you were told earlier which we didn't have
2		to tell you. Well, you know at a tremendous
3		pay cut. And so I guess the question is, is that
4		in my early days I used to see people doing
5		exactly what you did who went and were exemplary
6		in an area of law, and ultimately they felt like,
7		hey, I want to do something that gives back. I
8		want to get out and be on the bench and use what
9		skills that I've established over time in order
10		to kind of make the state better for it. We
11		don't see that as much anymore, and I'm sure you
12		probably have noticed that yourself. What is it
13		that needs to be done both whether it be this
14		commission, the legislature, or otherwise, to
15		kind of replicate what's going on in your
16		situation?
17	Α.	In other words, to get more lawyers who have done
18		well in their careers to want to give that up and
19		
20	Q.	Exactly. What about those other 24 that you
21		pointed out who were members of a very exclusive
22		association
23	Α.	Right.
24	Q.	Where do you go get some of those guys and women
25		to do that?

1	Α.	And I will say that I was the to my knowledge,
2		I was the first fellow in the academy to become a
3		judge, a family court judge. I'm not sure if
4		Brooks Goldsmith, who's a circuit court judge,
5		was in the academy or not. If he wasn't, he
б		could have certainly been. But there's been one
7		since the two, Monét Pincus was a fellow and
8		Thomas Boltman is recently brought in, so I'm
9		not saying that I'm the trend setter. I'm
10		certainly not, but it looks like that sort of
11		goes against the theory that there are that
12		qualified attorneys that are getting less
13		likely as opposed to more likely to want to go to
14		the bench. I don't know. I mean, I think that
15		the attorneys who excel in their practices, a lot
16		of them feel like they make too much money, they
17		have children that they need to get through
18		college, they have mortgage payments and things
19		like that, and they don't see how they're going
20		to be able to continue to live the same financial
21		lifestyle that they live as attorneys if they
22		become judges. And I don't know. I think it's
23		just it's a valuing system and a prioritizing
24		system that everybody has their own. To me, I'm
25		willing to pay a pretty large premium to have

PROCEEDINGS

Page 31

1		to be doing something that's so rewarding and
2		that I enjoy so much and find so interesting, and
3		frankly, I find a lot less stressful than
4		practicing law in the private arena. But not
5		everybody is wired the same way I am, and all I
6		can say, unless we can get the family court
7		judges and I'm speaking in terms of family
8		court, but it would certainly apply to circuit
9		court as well get the judges to just be a
10		little more vocal to the really good lawyers
11		about what a great job it is. And as long as
12		they come seeking I don't want a contested
13		race against one of these highly qualified
14		lawyers and neither does anybody else, but we
15		would I think judges could play a large role
16		in talking to the exceptional lawyers about why
17		we like our job so much and maybe give them
18		another perspective on the priorities.
19	Q.	Thank you.
20	Α.	Yes, sir. Thank you.
21	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Other questions?
22	JUDGE ROB	ERTSON - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
23	Q.	Real quick. And you're from Greenville, Judge
24		Sinclair, Spartanburg. He, thus far, is the only
25		sitting judge who has warranted no negative

Page 32

1		comments. You're the second best with only two,
2		so I think you can feel proud of that.
3	Α.	If anybody has anything negative to say about
4		Judge Sinclair, I would like to hear who it is
5		and what they have to say, because that would
б		shock me.
7	Q.	Next time just make something up, will you?
8	Α.	Right. I do get to turn in the survey.
9	Q.	Maybe you two can trade negative comments.
10	Α.	There you go. That's perfect.
11	Q.	Tommy Hodges was your partner, Judge Hodges?
12	Α.	Well, before I became a judge, we were partners
13		for, I believe, it was eight years, the last
14		eight years of my private practice, and he
15		continued by himself before he followed my lead
16		to the court.
17	Q.	All right. And on a personal note, I should
18		remember you from law school. You were a year
19		behind me.
20	Α.	Right.
21	Q.	But you were in the smart class, and I was in
22		I wouldn't have been hanging around anywhere near
23		you I'm sure.
24	Α.	I flew under the radar I'm sure compared to most.
25	Q.	Well, I and finally, I just want to commend

1		you as well. You are a lawyer's lawyer and a
2		judge's judge.
3	Α.	Thank you very much, Senator.
4	Q.	And you know that. And to have it affirmed by
5		people who are not assigning their names to these
6		responses is certainly of some good good for
7		you. The two nay sayers, again, you have to
8		discount that as outliers and perhaps irregular
9		people. Let's just go with that.
10	Α.	My wife keeps telling me I need to discount those
11		two negative comments, and I'm working on it.
12	Q.	You have certainly given up a lot to do this, and
13		so but you've set a mark for your children and
14		the legacy you talked about at the outset, and I
15		think a legacy for public service and wearing a
16		robe. You wear it lightly but efficiently and to
17		be affirmed in the cases that you cited in your
18		five most important cases has also got to be of
19		some consequence to you, because you're getting
20		it right, so
21	Α.	I appreciate those comments. Thank you.
22	Q.	All right. And unless there are other questions,
23		this will conclude this portion of the screening
24		process. Let me remind you that pursuant to our
25		evaluative criteria we expect candidates and you

PROCEEDINGS

Γ

Page 34

1		to maintain both the spirit and the letter of the
2		South Carolina laws of ethics. Any violation or
3		any appearance of impropriety will be deemed very
4		serious and potentially deserving of heavy
5		deliberation by us. We don't expect that to be
6		the case, but if it were to arise, you do know
7		that we would call you back and continue to
8		question you, because the record will not be
9		closed until final qualifications are issued.
10	Α.	Understood.
11	Q.	Very well. Thank you for being here early and
12		this going much later than we thought. God speed
13		to y'all and your three shining star children.
14	А.	Thank you so much. It's been a pleasure.
15	Q.	God speed. Upon a motion from Senator Hayes,
16		seconded by Representative Murphy we will now go
17		into executive session.
18		(Executive Session.)
19	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record, and
20		during executive session I want the record to
21		reflect that no decisions were made and no votes
22		were taken. Now we will proceed with the
23		screening of the next candidate.
24	RAME L. C	AMPBELL
25	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Mr. Campbell?

1	MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome. Pronounce your first name
3	for me.
4	MR. CAMPBELL: It's Rame, R-A-M-E.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Rame, not Rame?
6	MR. CAMPBELL: No, family name.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super. If you will, please raise
8	your right hand.
9	RAME L. CAMPBELL, having been duly sworn, testifies as
10	follows:
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have prepared two documents
12	there, a PDQ and a Sworn Statement. Any changes
13	that need to be made to those?
14	MR. CAMPBELL: No, sir, not the only change I made
15	was on the financial statement. I did amend the
16	financial statement, but other than that, these
17	are fine.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. You don't object to
19	those being made part of the record for your
20	MR. CAMPBELL: No, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand those to Lindi, we'll
22	mark those in. Mr. Campbell, you are familiar
23	with this process, and in our evaluation of your
24	candidacy, we focus on nine criteria, which
25	includes a ballot box survey, a thorough study of

Γ

1	your application materials, verification of your
2	compliance with the state ethic laws, a search of
3	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
4	and a check for economic conflicts of interest.
5	No affidavits have been filed in opposition to
6	your election. No witness has been has
7	requested to be present to testify for you or
8	against you. You now have the floor for an ever
9	so brief statement, recognizing that we, not you,
10	are substantially behind schedule. And so with
11	that, you're welcome to make comments.
12	(Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification
13	purposes - (17 pages) Rame L. Campbell - Personal Data
14	Questionnaire.)
15	(Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification
16	purposes - (6 pages) Rame L. Campbell - Sworn
17	Statement.)
18	MR. CAMPBELL: I'll keep it brief. I'm running for
19	family court judge. I have been in the legal
20	practice for 21 years. I have worn many hats,
21	the main one being I've been a litigator in many
22	different arenas and aspects of the law. And
23	based upon that, I feel like I bring something
24	good to the court through my experience, through
25	my courtroom experience, and the fact that I have

PROCEEDINGS

1	a lot of experience dealing with children and
1 2	
	juveniles and special needs children. And since
3	the last time I ran, my priorities have changed.
4	That's why I'm running for family court at this
5	time, because life has thrown me some curve
6	balls. And from that I have learned that my
7	passion is really dealing with children and
8	solving problems for people. And that's why I'm
9	running for family court judge.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right. And I
11	omitted saying that we have obviously reviewed
12	your prior screenings as well. With that, Mr.
13	Franklin, if you will.
14	MR. CAMPBELL - EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANKLIN:
15	Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Campbell, please
16	state for the record the city and circuit where
17	you reside.
18	A. I live in Anderson, and I live in and it's in
19	the Tenth Judicial Circuit.
20	MR. FRANKLIN: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record
21	that based on the testimony contained in the
22	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
23	record with the candidate's consent, Rame L.
24	Campbell meets the statutory requirements for
25	this position regarding age, residence, and years

1		of practice. Mr. Campbell, you alluded to this.
2		You last appeared before the commission in 2009
3		seeking a seat on the circuit court; is that
4		correct?
5	Α.	That's correct.
6	Q.	And why do you now want to serve as a family
7		court judge, and how exactly has your legal and
8		professional focus changed since 2009 that to
9		give you the requisite experience to be an
10		effective family court judge?
11	А.	Well, as you know, as you grow older, you mature,
12		you find things, things come your way that
13		changes your perspective, and that's what's
14		happened to me. I realize now in looking back,
15		hindsight, is that I was not prepared or ready
16		for, in 2009, to actually be on the judiciary.
17		And it's kind of one of those things that, you
18		know, thank God for unanswered prayers, because
19		in `09 I went to the screening. I was
20		successfully screened out, went through the
21		process, and then eventually I bowed out. The
22		Honorable Lawton McIntosh was elected to that
23		position. It was about two months after that
24		- fact my daughter I only have one child she
25		was diagnosed as being autistic. And I can tell
		-

you this that mentally and emotionally if any of
y'all have ever dealt with special needs
children, or if you have your own or know someone
that does, it take a toll on you. And now,
looking back, that I wasn't ready at that
point in time, but now since my as I since
that time, of course I've gotten older, gotten
wiser, gotten more experience, I've realized my
passion is for children, which is why I do a lot
of guardian work, especially children with
special needs. I'm a big advocate for that. In
fact, when a position came up for the Anderson
County Disability and Special Needs Board my
local delegation called me and asked would I sit
in and would I consider running and being elected
to the position, which I did. And I'm now the
secretary of the board. And so looking back in
my career, I've been a litigator, and I bring a
lot of courtroom experience. I've tried cases in
every court there is, from municipal court all
the way up to doing death penalty trials in the
State of South Carolina. I'm also licensed in
federal court, CJ appointment list, and so I have
real world trial experience, which I think
assists me in helping solve problems and working

Page 40

1		things out. And so based upon my experience
2		and it's not just in I know I did a lot of
3		work in general sessions court, but civil court,
4		probate court, of course magistrate's court,
5		Social Security, you know, workers' comp, done
6		real estate. I've done a little bit of
7		everything. When you work in a small town in a
8		general practice firm, you really handle a lot of
9		different areas. And all that comes into play,
10		because family court deals with many of these
11		different facets, and you have to understand and
12		know a lot of it, because it all intertwines.
13		And the thing about the family court is we still
14		use the same rules of evidence, the same rules of
15		procedure, you're still using due process rights
16		and the constitutional rights, especially when
17		you're dealing with juveniles. And so that, you
18		know, in and of itself, I think, helps and would
19		be beneficial for me if elected to the position.
20	Q.	Are there any areas of law for which you would
21		need additional preparation in order to serve as
22		a family court judge, and how would you handle
23		that additional preparation?
24	Α.	I think the one area I probably do the least
25		amount of work in in my family court practice

1		and I practice probably 65 percent family law,
2		and I didn't include juveniles when I did my
3		numbers for the PDQ. I consider that criminal
4		aspect, but probably DSS. I don't I've never
5		represented DSS. I do not actually seek out DSS
6		cases, but I do have a lot of experience dealing
7		with them. Especially on my private cases in
8		which my clients have had their kids taken away
9		due to abuse or neglect, or they're looking for
10		termination of parental rights. So dealing with
11		DSS in and of itself is probably the one area
12		that I is not as strong as others. Like with
13		juveniles, I'm very strong with juveniles, a lot
14		of experience dealing with them. But DSS is
15		probably the one area I've had the least
16		experience in.
17	Q.	Briefly describe your experience in handling
18		complex contested family court matters, and
19		specifically discuss your experience with the
20		financial aspects of family court work.
21	Α.	Well, the type of practice I have and where I
22		practice we don't get a I personally do not
23		get a whole lot of cases dealing with large
24		amounts of money. I do have a couple of cases
25		that when I say large amounts, I'm typically

1	talking about a quarter of a million to \$500,000
2	worth of assets. Typically the type of cases I
3	deal with are smaller. And it's mainly you're
4	dividing up pots and pans and furnish
5	furniture and furnishings and appliances and
б	trucks and trailers and that sort of bit. And
7	typically you're dealing with more debt than you
8	are assets. But when dealing with the financial
9	aspect, I have a lot of experience dealing with
10	appraisers. Case I have right now we're dealing
11	with timber rights, how much is the you know,
12	hardwoods cost, the value, how much is your
13	softwoods value, how much is the pastureland
14	worth, how much are the cows worth, and the farm
15	implements. So I have a lot of experience
16	dealing with appraisers, whether it's real estate
17	or on those type of items. And furthermore, I
18	have a lot of experience especially dealing with
19	mental health experts in cases I have. So those
20	are the type of cases I deal with on a day-
21	in/day-out basis, but it doesn't change. There's
22	no unlike, you know, a circuit court, like a
23	larceny type case where's there's a dollar
24	amount, family court doesn't have that where it's
25	divided up, and all the statutes are still the

Page 43

1	same no matter if it's a small case or a large	
2	case. You still follow the same rules of	
3	evidence, the same rules of procedure. The only	
4	thing that changes is in the family court there's	
5	no jury and the subject matter.	
6	MR. FRANKLIN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to now request	
7	that we go into executive session to handle a	
8	matter.	
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Motion by Ms. McIver,	
10	seconded by Mr. Safran for executive session.	
11	(Executive Session.)	
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we are back on the	
13	record, and while in executive session let the	
14	record reflect that no votes were taken, no	
15	decisions were made. Now we will continue with	
16	Mr. Rame L. Campbell.	
17	Q. Mr. Campbell, the commission received 114 ballot	
18	box surveys regarding you, with 16 additional	
19	comments. The ballot box survey, for example,	
20	contained the following positive comments: "A	
21	smart, dedicated public servant now in private	
22	practice. Rame would be a good judge, and brings	
23	a wealth of experience to the job." "Mr.	
24	Campbell is an excellent lawyer, and would make	
25	an excellent judge. His qualifications and	

1		experience are outstanding. Also, he has the
2		temperament to be a fair and effective judge."
3		"Rame is a fair individual and has a prosecutor -
		-
4		- as a prosecutor he always remembered that it
5		was his duty to seek justice and not just obtain
6		convictions. Rame always treated all parties, to
7		include coworkers, police officers, judges,
8		defense counsel, and the defendants with courtesy
9		and respect regardless of their point of view or
10		difference of opinions on cases." Ten of the
11		written comments express concerns, however.
12		Several of the comments indicated that your
13		primary experience has been in criminal law and
14		that you have had very little experience with
15		family court matters. Is there anything that you
16		would like to add to what you said before about
17		that?
18	Α.	Well, dealing with family law, I've been
19		practicing 21 years, seven of that's been in
20		family court. And prior to me going to through
21		the judicial circuit solicitor's office I was in
22		a general practice firm where our primary focus
23		was family law, and that's what I do now. I do a
24		lot of family court work. I do a lot of guardian
25		work. And the fact is you're still dealing with

1	whether it's circuit court or family court	
2	you're still dealing with the same rules of	
3	evidence, the same rules of procedure, due	
4	process rights, constitutional rights. There's a	
5	low of overplay, like dealing with juveniles. I	
6	do a lot of juvenile work. And I'll give you a	
7	case in point. I'm representing one of the case	
8	biggest cases in probably South Carolina.	
9	2017 was the Townville school shooter. And	
10	earlier this year he was 14 years old when he	
11	committed this crime. Fourteen actually 14	
12	and three weeks. If he had done it three weeks	
13	prior, he would have been in juvenile court, and	
14	it would never have gone up. But in February	
15	this year we had a waiver hearing that lasted,	
16	you know, a full week, called basically it was	
17	a trial. You're calling we called	
18	approximately 30 to 40 witnesses, five of them	
19	mental health experts, and the fact the case came	
20	down to whether he met the criteria of U.S. v.	
21	Kent and decided whether he should be stay as	
22	a juvenile and tried in the family court aspect	
23	or go to general sessions court. Ultimately,	
24	based on the safety of the community, the judge	
25	decided that it was best for him to be tried.	

Γ

Page 46

1		And so you get a lot of overplay and overlap, and
2		just you know, I'll admit I my focus in my
3		career has not just been one area of the law.
4		I've had experience or exposure to a lot of
5		different aspects in many different areas, and it
6		all intertwines and interplays together. So the
7		fact that, you know seven years experience is
8		still experience. I still have a lot of
9		courtroom experience, and it all works together.
10	Q.	Another concern is that your professional
11		dealings are not fair and impartial unless the
12		outcome is to benefit you as opposed to the
13		interests of others, and that you are easily
14		swayed by those who you believe to be in power,
15		and are often led down a path which seeks to
16		better your own position or status. What is your
17		response what is the response that you would
18		offer to that?
19	Α.	First of all, when you get some comments like
20		that, I'd like to know the context that it's made
21		in. That's the problem I have with the anonymous
22		type of questions is because I've never had that
23		issue. I mean, what I don't understand what I
24		would try to gain. My position's always been I
25		worked either for myself or a small business, or

1		I worked in a solicitor's office. And so there's
2		not really a whole lot to gain. I mean, so I
3		don't understand that type of comment or what
4		context it's made in. Without seeing more, I
5		don't understand what they're talking about, and
6		I completely deny that, you know, allegation.
7	Q.	Mr. Campbell, one of the comments states that
8		your reputation, especially among women, is that
9		you're sexist and demeaning. What response would
10		you offer to that concern?
11	Α.	I absolutely deny that. You know, I go to this
12		point that I ran for the solicitor. And a lot of
13		people ran and immediately started taking sides
14		once it started. And it got bad, I'll admit.
15		And I submitted an affidavit from an individual.
16		A lot of these comments came from other people.
17		And y'all being in politics you understand that
18		when you put your name on a general ballot you
19		can't control what other people do. You can't
20		control what people do in your name. You can't
21		control what third parties do. And that's the
22		issues I was running into. And so, you know,
23		there's a group of attorneys who were female in
24		the solicitor's office who were voiced their
25		opinion, wanted the other candidate to win. But
		I

Page 48

1		one of the issues, you know what I take from
2		it is this, is that if those comments were
3		actually true, then my boss, who is a female, why
4		would she have put me in the position that she
5		did if they were actually true, because she was
6		in the office every day. She knew what was going
7		on. And so these comments, in my opinion, were
8		made, you know, in a political manner, because if
9		it was true, I wouldn't have been nominated or
10		put in that position to be the deputy solicitor.
11		I was put there because I can get things done. I
12		have a good working relationship with law
13		enforcement, a good working relationship with the
14		victims. And, you know, I try cases, and I get
15		things done. And that's why she put me in that
16		position. So if these were actually true, I do
17		not think she would have put me there.
18	Q.	And how would you respond to the criticism or
19		comment that you have made inappropriate comments
20		to female colleagues regarding their appearance
21		and their personal lives?
22	Α.	No, I've never done that.
23	Q.	Did you ever air any concerns about anything in
24		the solicitor's office to the public?
25	Α.	No. The you know, things made it to a runoff,

1		and at that, you know, individuals who had a
2		vested interest and who actually were let go from
3		the office, they took it upon themselves because
4		they didn't feel like I was being aggressive
5		enough in addressing some of the issues that they
6		saw that was going on with the office. And
7		unfortunately they had access to my email account
8		or what do you call it Facebook account,
9		because I don't do social media, and typed up
10		something that I had no knowledge of prior to it
11		being posted. And of course, I'm the one,
12		because my name is on the ballot I bear
13		responsibility, and I bear the brunt of it. And
14		even though I had no actions or did nothing to
15		that, so and I submitted, actually to you, an
16		affidavit from the individual who did that. I
17		didn't know until way after the fact who did it.
18		And basically it was because they didn't think I
19		was being aggressive enough in not calling out
20		certain people. Because that up until that
21		point none of that had been done. And then this
22		individual took it upon themselves to do it, and
23		that's why I'm having to answer questions about
24		it today.
25	Q.	And the attorneys who came into your office, what

1		is your relationship, if any, with those
2		attorneys today? Do you have any relationship or
3		any
4	Α.	Yeah, I individuals, and I know who they are -
5		- the individuals their comments I still
6		have cases with them today. I work with them.
7		Most of them are on that case, the Townville
8		shooting case. Not once have they brought this
9		up or mentioned it to me. I have a good working
10		relationship with all the attorneys. I have a
11		good reputation in the Anderson bar. The fact of
12		the matter is, when I left the solicitor's office
13		I was asked by two individuals, two ladies, to
14		give recommendations. One wound up, after I gave
15		a good recommendation to her, she was hired by
16		the Denver Clerk of Court's office in Colorado
17		when her husband got transferred. Another lady I
18		worked with for years, she used me as a
19		reference, and she's now working with the U.S.
20		Marshals in Asheville. And, you know, I spoke to
21		them about that. So, you know, my opinion is if
22		these things were actually true, these
23		individuals would not have used me. They would
24		not have come to me and asked me to be references
25		for them.

1	Q.	And when you were at the solicitor's office, did
2		anyone ever commence a formal internal grievance
3		proceeding against you alleging any form of
4		sexism
5	Α.	No, sir. That's
6	Q.	or discrimination?
7	Α.	I can say this. The first time I've heard it is
8		when you read it to me. That is the first time
9		I've ever had that. I mean, I totally disagree
10		with that, because the fact of the matter is, you
11		know, I'm the father of a daughter. I'm
12		definitely one for rights when it comes to women.
13		And if anything, I've done gone above and
14		beyond dealing with that. I've never based
15		anybody, their actions, on whether they're male,
16		female, you know, the race or gender, the
17		religion. My thing has always been that you do
18		your job, is it right or wrong. And that didn't
19		sit well with a lot of people, and in the office.
20		And in fact, the affidavit, if you submitted it
21		to the committee, you know, what was stated was
22		true. My work ethic was a lot different than a
23		lot of people in the office, and people in the
24		office had gotten complacent. And they were
25		showing up late, leaving early, and they didn't

1		like the type of ethics that I had. And so that
2		was a conflict, and so it turned out to be a
3		conflict during the race.
4	Q.	Mr. Campbell, what do you believe to be the
5		proper demeanor of a family court judge?
б	Α.	My opinion's always been that someone's
7		respectful, that is courteous, allows the
8		litigants and the attorneys the opportunity to
9		try their case or have their say, and to
10		basically uphold the laws of civility that's in
11		the courtroom setting. And I've gone before a
12		lot of judges. You know, I started out in the
13		Fourteenth Circuit. And a good judge that I
14		always admired and looked up to was Judge Gerald
15		Smoak, little Gerald, out of Walterboro and Judge
16		Edwards here in Anderson. And they've always
17		given the say and allow the people time to do
18		their case without interfering, without being
19		demeaning, without calling people down. And they
20		make sure it runs smoothly. And they give a just
21		and fair decision based upon the facts that are
22		presented to them.
23	Q.	In 2013 you were named as a defendant in a
24		complaint filed in South Carolina State Court
25		entitled, J.P. Morgan Chase Bank National

Γ

1		Association v. Holland. Please explain the
2		nature and disposition of that lawsuit?
3	Α.	Yeah. And I actually brought a copy if it
4		needs to be that happened in 2003. I was the
5		attorney in a domestic action in which it went
6		before The Honorable Tim Cain, who's now a
7		federal judge. And I was awarded attorney fees
8		in the amount of \$1,000 by the defendant husband.
9		My client was also given the property, the
10		marital home. After the hearing, of course, he
11		was living out of his van. He left, so I never
12		collected on the attorney fees that were awarded
13		to me. And I was only brought into that case
14		because of the fact that when they did a research
15		of it and they found the Order, it stated that I
16		was owed \$1,000 for attorney fees. And in fact,
17		Judge Drew, when he drew up the order, it states
18		the only reason I am in this is because I was
19		owed the attorney fees. And I actually have a
20		copy of the if it needs to be seen.
21	Q.	All right. Now for a few housekeeping issues.
22		Mr. Campbell, are you aware that as a judicial
23		candidate you are bound by the code of judicial
24		conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South
25		Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
3		contacted any members of the general assembly or
4		the commission about your candidacy?
5	Α.	No, sir.
6	Q.	Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
7		the limitations on contacting members of the
8		general assembly regarding your screening?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
11		sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
12		either prior to this date or pending the outcome
13		of your screening?
14	Α.	No.
15	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact
16		members of the general assembly on your behalf,
17		or are you aware of anyone attempting to
18		intervene in this process on your behalf?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Finally, have you reviewed and do you understand
21		the commission's guidelines on pledging and South
22		Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)?
23	Α.	Yes, sir.
24	MR.	FRANKLIN: I would note that the Upstate Citizens
25		Committee found Mr. Campbell qualified in the

PROCEEDINGS

-		
1		evaluative criteria of constitutional
2		qualifications, ethical fitness, professional and
3		academic ability, reputation, physical health,
4		mental stability, and experience. The commission
5		found Mr. Campbell well qualified in the
6		evaluative criteria of character. However, Mr.
7		Campbell was found unqualified in the evaluative
8		criteria of judicial temperament. The Committee
9		further stated, "A number of the candidate's
10		professional colleagues have reported that he has
11		a difficulty working well with others. The
12		candidate also displayed an uneasiness during the
13		interview process that was readily apparent to
14		members of the Committee." I would just note for
15		the record that any concerns raised here in the
16		investigation regarding the candidate were
17		incorporated into the questioning of the
18		candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
19		questions.
20	MR. CAMPB	ELL - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
21	Q.	Mr. Campbell, I want to ask you about that last
22		area
23	Α.	Right.
24	Q.	of inquiry by Mr. Franklin, and that being the
25		Upstate Citizens report and meeting. Describe

	that process, if you will?
Α.	I will. It the hearing is about 45 minutes to
	an hour. It was to be honest with you, it was
	awkward. You had four people in there, total.
	They normally the last time I went through the
	Citizens Committee there was a lot of eight,
	nine, ten people. There was four. There was an
	attorney out of Spartanburg who asked the
	questions. The three other individuals in there
	didn't ask anything. They just sat there and,
	you know, let him control the dialogue that went.
	And it was it was not a give and take type of
	a conversation. It was kind I believe
	honestly, it was awkward, and I had once I
	heard that I totally disagree with that
	finding they came out with, because of this, you
	know, when I got done with that hearing, one of
	the ladies walked out with me and spoke to me f
	or 20 minutes about me running for judge and how
	she was glad I was running and that my concern
	for the children and, you know, special needs
	kids. And she didn't mention a word during the
	hearing, but yet she walks out and speaks 20
	minutes to me in private. And when I ran, you
	know, last time I didn't have any of these
	Α.

ĺ		
1		none of these things popped up last time when I
2		ran in `09. The only intervening factor that has
3		happened since that time is I ran for a public
4		office in a heavily contested race. And
5	Q.	Which you made to a runoff, I think you said.
6	Α.	Yes, sir, I made it to a runoff. And so that's -
7		- and last time, you know, the same the
8		committee chairman of the Upstate Committee was
9		the same person that was there in `09. You know,
10		she had to recuse herself, because she supported
11		one of the other candidates, and she's, you know,
12		friends of one of the other candidates in this
13		race. And so, you know, I deny those allegations
14		that they were making. You know, I've never had
15		a problem working with people. Because if you
16		look at the comments that are given, and you can
17		tell the comments are based out of the a lot
18		of people out of the same office my office,
19		the solicitor's office, they're polar opposites
20		of one another.
21	Q.	Well, let's stick the Upstate Committee report.
22		You said of your own accord said it was
23		awkward?
24	Α.	Yeah.
25	Q.	So perhaps they felt awkwardness on your part or

1		uneasiness on your part, but both of you are
2		saying at least a different definition or a
3		different term, "uneasy," "awkward"
4	Α.	It was sorry.
5	Q.	And again, whatever that matters aside, the
6		person who was asking the questions was an
7		attorney you recall?
8	А.	Yes, it was an attorney.
9	Q.	Who was that?
10	А.	Works for Charlie Hodges in Spartanburg. I can't
11		remember his name off the top of my head. You
12		know, he
13	Q.	Blonde?
14	Α.	Yeah, that's him.
15	Q.	Not too young, but Langley?
16	Α.	That's it.
17	Q.	Yeah, okay.
18	Α.	It's Ryan. I believe, Ryan Langley. That's who
19		it was.
20	Q.	All right. But in terms of the fairness of it,
21		did you feel like you were denied the input,
22		denied the opportunity
23	Α.	No, sir. I answered all their questions. It was
24		I thought it was straightforward and didn't
<b>0 -</b>	1	
25		think you know, when I left I had a real good

1		feeling about it, and it you know, none of
2		these things really cropped up until later.
3	Q.	And so it was a 45-minute exchange, you said?
4	Α.	Actually him asking questions, and, you know, me
5		responding. The other individuals were not
6		didn't ask a thing.
7	Q.	Let me shift to the ballot box survey. Do you
8		typically participate in this? You respond when
9		you get questions submitted
10	Α.	Yes and no.
11	Q.	the same ballot I get?
12	Α.	Yes and no. I only respond if I know the
13		individuals who are running, and only respond if
14		I have some positive to say. I don't put down
15		any negative comments. I know people can do
16		that, but I work in a small legal community, and
17		people know who says what. And I've always been
18		taught and raised that if you have nothing nice
19		to say, don't say it, and that's kind of the way
20		I approach these ballots, even though they're
21		anonymous.
22	Q.	Do you think that we should value this and give
23		consideration to it, the good and the occasional
24		negative?
25	Α.	I think it is something that is one useful tool

Γ

1		for the Commission to use, but I don't think it's
2		the only thing that should be used. I think it
3		should be, you look at the overall body of work
4		that an attorney has done, like in my case I've
5		never had any disciplinary actions, I've never
6		been, you know, sued by a client, no malpractice
7		issues. I've always had a good reputation. In
8		fact, I was asked by the Supreme Court to take
9		over another attorney's case when I was in
10		private practice the first time. And so I think
11		it's just one of the things you can use. I think
12		the appropriate thing is if someone has a
13		negative comment that in order for fairness for
14		the candidate, that person should sign an
15		affidavit and come forward. And as you stated in
16		the opening statements that no affidavits were
17		filed against me, and nobody has stated they
18		wanted to come and testify. And so when, you
19		know, these allegations that are made against me,
20		you know, I would like to have the accusers come
21		in and sit down and go over it. But I'm not
22		afforded that opportunity because they don't put
23		their name on it, and they don't write their name
24		on it.
25	Q.	You can write your name.

-	_	
1	Α.	Yeah, you can, but I'm talking about coming to
2		testify.
3	Q.	Right. For good or bad, any comments on those
4		ballot box surveys you can put your name.
5	Α.	Yes, sir.
6	Q.	Let me shift, and I'm less the comments that
7		you denied earlier, there is a theme, though, not
8		as to perhaps the heated campaign context, which
9		you say many of these arise from, but would you
10		agree that your experience in the area of family
11		law is not that broad and not that long in
12		duration?
13	Α.	In duration, it's been a third of my career. You
14		know, like I say, I've been practicing since
15		November '97. And when I was in private practice
16		from 2000 right to up 2005, that's the main
17		the majority of what I did was family court. And
18		the majority of what I do now in my own practice
19		is family court. I do a lot with guardian work.
20		I do a lot with juveniles. You know, I've
21		handled everything from divorce or adoption
22		through, you know, termination of parental
23		rights. So as overall I have not focused my
24		entire career in one area of the law. And but
25		yes, it is limited in that aspect. But when you

Γ

Page 62

1		look at the things, you know, a lot of times
2		people wonder, well, do they have courtroom
3		experience, do they understand the rules of
4		evidence, do they understand the rules of
5		procedure. All that inter-winds, interplays with
6		the family court laws. It's all the same. It's
7		just a different topic that you're dealing with.
8		And as a judge you're still charged with taking
9		what the law is and making a decision on it.
10	Q.	You mentioned on the financial side your
11		experience in defining big cases. I think you
12		said \$250,000 to \$500,000
13	Α.	That's kind of
14	Q.	would be a bigger case
15	Α.	For me.
16	Q.	and certainly in my practice years ago when I
17		did it, it was this is how old I am and how
18		long ago I used to do it. It was a fight over
19		the eight track tape collection and the spoons
20		from various tourist spots that folks would
21		acquire them.
22	Α.	Typically most of the cases I deal with involve
23		custody. The most of the people are not
24		wealthy. They don't have a lot of money.
25		They're mostly fighting over, you know, pots and

1		pans and how you're going to divide up really
2		a lot of it comes down to how you going to divide
3		up the debt. But custody, children issues, are
4		the main issues my family court case revolves
5		around.
6	Q.	And you mentioned financial negotiation,
7		appraisals, et cetera, invoked a timber fight
8		that you are currently involved with as an
9		example of your ability to handle the bigger
10		financial type cases other than the pots and the
11		pans?
12	Α.	Right. I've used, you know, accountants before,
13		CPAs to come in and testify, looking at business
14		evaluations. The most of the cases I have,
15		like I say, do not deal with that. And this one
16		particular case, which is actually coming up in a
17		couple of weeks for a temporary hearing, we're
18		dealing with we're fighting over what's the
19		value of the property and how we're going to
20		divide it up. And all the rights you know,
21		the property has more than just timber property
22		rights. You know, you look at the timber aspect
23		of it. You're looking at the what the
24		pastureland's worth compared to the hardwoods and
25		regular woods. And so those are the type of

Page 64

i		
1		issues that I kind of deal with.
2	Q.	The last question and this comment, one praising
3		you for your work seeking out Guardian ad Litem
4		cases in a contested context, but your run for
5		the solicitor's office was in `16?
6	Α.	Yes, sir.
7	Q.	This comment that you really have not practiced
8		family law up until the election. So you said a
9		third of your practice, but so that
10		observation would not be correct, that since the
11		election, you have shifted
12	Α.	Since the election, I went into private practice
13		for myself, and the focus in the majority of my
14		current practice is in domestic relations. And
15		I'm counting the time I also was in private
16		practice prior to that before going to the
17		solicitor's office in Anderson.
18	Q.	You were in the solicitor's office how long all
19		told?
20	Α.	In Anderson from `05 to `15, `16. I can't
21		remember when I actually left.
22	Q.	Other than Guardian ad Litem cases, have you
23		tried to not verdict, but order a case before
24		a family court judge in the last two years?
25	Α.	One case.

1	Q.	Was that with you representing who?
2	Α.	Representing the plaintiff.
3	Q.	All right. And that again, you may have
4		touched on this. What was the issue in that?
5	Α.	It was really custody.
6	Q.	All right. Any questions? Representative
7		Murphy.
8	MR. CAMPB	ELL - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY:
9	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Campbell, just
10		following up on what Chairman Rankin has stated.
11		Now your testimony is that the majority
12		because I read the same concerns that you lack
13		the necessary family court experience. And if
14		I'm following your testimony, you testified that
15		the majority of your practice in is domestic
16		law, correct?
17	А.	That's correct.
18	Q.	Then I look at your PDQ, and under question 13
19		you have 40 percent of your practice is in
20		domestic law, and the remaining 60 percent is
21		divided between civil, criminal, and other. You
22		also stated in your PDQ, question number 14, that
23		the percentage 75 percent of your practice, is
24		jury related. We know that family court there
25		are no juries.

Page 66

1	Α.	Well, they also it says you go back five
2		years. And previously I was counting also the
3		solicitor's aspect of it of what I did.
4	Q.	When I look at your website I had it pulled up
5		here a minute ago when I look at the website
6		of Newton I think it's Newton and Campbell
7	Α.	Right.
8	Q.	or Campbell and Newton, the first thing that
9		pops up is that y'all are trial attorneys, DUI
10		and personal injury. And when I pop up on your
11		name, it states that you're a DUI and personal
12		injury attorney. It's not until I actually go to
13		the small tab on domestic family court cases that
14		it mentions. So if I'm viewing your website, it
15		would appear to me that you are mainly a trial
16		attorney. Because that's basically you were a
17		trial attorney, and I was a former solicitor in
18		the First Circuit, and but it appears from
19		your practice that your practice is not centered
20		around domestic law as your testimony is stating
21		to this body?
22	Α.	I can explain that. Dealing with the I don't
23		deal with advertising. My partner does that. In
24		fact, we're basically we're in the same firm,
25		but we practice two different areas. I don't do

Page 67

1		DUIs. That's not one area I deal with. He is
2		the one that set all that up regarding the actual
3		firm website, because to be honest with you, I'm
4		not good with computers. That's one of the areas
5		I don't deal with. He handled all that aspect of
б		it. But we are kind of split, and our two areas
7		are totally different. He mainly handles what
8		he really does is family I mean, DUI law,
9		whereas my practice consists mainly around
10		domestic practice, civil, and some criminal.
11	Q.	I noticed in the last I guess the last three
12		years you've taken one family law seminar, CLE?
13	Α.	No, I've taken several.
14	Q.	Which ones are they, because I'm looking at the
14 15	Q.	Which ones are they, because I'm looking at the report that you provided, and I want to be
	Q.	
15	Q.	report that you provided, and I want to be
15 16	Q.	report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you
15 16 17	Q.	report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in `18, and then
15 16 17 18	Q.	report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in `18, and then `16 you have Family Law Essentials. Those are
15 16 17 18 19		report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in `18, and then `16 you have Family Law Essentials. Those are the two. I don't see where that you've
15 16 17 18 19 20		report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in '18, and then '16 you have Family Law Essentials. Those are the two. I don't see where that you've reported, I don't see where there's several.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in '18, and then '16 you have Family Law Essentials. Those are the two. I don't see where that you've reported, I don't see where there's several. Well, I've taken some since then, which I didn't
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in '18, and then '16 you have Family Law Essentials. Those are the two. I don't see where that you've reported, I don't see where there's several. Well, I've taken some since then, which I didn't add to the list.
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Α.	<pre>report that you provided, and I want to be accurate here? In your CLE compliance report you do have the Guardian ad Litem in `18, and then `16 you have Family Law Essentials. Those are the two. I don't see where that you've reported, I don't see where there's several. Well, I've taken some since then, which I didn't add to the list. And did I think Lindi just asked if you had</pre>

Γ

1	Α.	I took another family court CLE, and I want to
2		say it was August or September. I'd have to look
3		at it. It was on my current CLE report that I
4		received from the bar.
5	Q.	The interim?
6	Α.	Yes, sir. And to be honest with you, it slipped
7		my mind regarding adding it to the PDQ.
8	Q.	That's all I have at this time, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Any other questions? Senator
10		Young.
11	MR. CAMPB	SELL - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
12	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Campbell, thank you
13		for being here. I wanted to ask you some
14		questions about abuse and neglect cases. Have
15		you handled any abuse and neglect cases?
16	А.	Yes, sir. I've handled them on two different
17		aspects. As a prosecutor I've handled those type
18		of cases. Typically they involve unlawful
19		neglect of a child, usually resolving
20		revolving out of a drug case, in which the
21		parents have been charged, whether selling,
22		dealing, possessing, manufacturing some sort of
23		illegal substance, and the children test positive
24		for meth in my case it's typically, in the
25		upstate, methamphetamines. In the aspect of

1		dealing with family law with DSS I've been
2		appointed on several guardian cases. My clients
3		also have had cases in which their children have
4		been removed. And, you know, they're put on
5		safety plans and going through treatments through
6		DSS and trying to trying to get their children
7		back. A lot of times the cases I have the
8		children are put into foster care, and/or with a
9		relative, you know, if they can find one. And so
10		I do have experience dealing with that is
11		dealing with abuse and also the termination of
12		parental rights regarding that. And it's usually
13		one of the allegations that I have dealt with in
14		the past, is that the children have been abused.
15		That's why were removed from the home, and then
16		the parents right are eventually being
17		terminated.
18	Q.	You mentioned earlier in your testimony, unless I
19		heard you wrong, I thought I heard you say that
20		you have had your experience with cases in the
21		family court involving DSS is not as broad as you
22		would hope it to be?
23	Α.	Right, this is true. And that's one of the areas
24		that, you know, I have some limited experience
25		dealing with is abuse and neglect. Dealing with

1		my clients on a private as I've taken with my
2		private cases. I don't seek out DSS or nor do I
3		represent DSS type cases, but as they come along
4		I have dealt with them.
5	Q.	Have you ever been a contract attorney for DSS?
6	Α.	No, sir.
7	Q.	I don't have anything further at this time.
8		Thanks.
9	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Anything further from any other
10		member fo the commission? All right, if not, Mr.
11		Campbell, thank you. This will conclude this
12		portion of the screening process. I will remind
13		you that any violation of the spirit or letter of
14		the South Carolina laws of ethics will be deemed
15		very serious, and we expect the candidates to
16		follow it to the letter and the spirit. If there
17		were to be a violation of that or the appearance
18		of any impropriety, you understand that we could
19		call you back, because this record will not be
20		closed until the final qualifications have been
21		issued. You understand that, right?
22	MR.	CAMPBELL: Yes, sir, I do.
23	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: We trust that will not be the case,
24		and with that that will close the record. Thank
25		you.
	1	

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. 2 3 (Off the Record) 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Ms. Nichols-Graham, if 5 you will, please raise your right hand. KIMAKA NICHOLS-GRAHAM, having been duly sworn, 6 7 testifies as follows: 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. I will remind you to 9 speak audibly in that mike. The court reporter is right there. She won't miss a word, but so we 10 11 hear you up here as well. 12 MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Okay. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have prepared two documents, a Sworn Statement and a Personal Data Questionnaire 14 15 that are before you; is that right? 16 MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes need to be made to those? 18 MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: No. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And do you have any objection to 20 them being made part of the sworn testimony you 21 have today? 22 No objection. MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to 24 Lindi, we'll make them a part of the record. You 25 are familiar with this process and our criteria

1	that we look for in our investigation of your
2	candidacy?
3	(Exhibit Number 13 was marked for identification
4	purposes - (20 pages) Kimaka Nichols-Graham - Personal
5	Data Questionnaire.)
6	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification
7	purposes - (20 pages) Kimaka Nichols-Graham -
8	Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire.)
9	(Exhibit Number 15 was marked for identification
10	purposes - Kimaka Nichols-Graham - Sworn Statement.)
11	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Yes, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: There are nine, which include
13	specifically a ballot box survey, a thorough
14	study of your application materials, a check of
15	newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
16	check for economic conflicts of interest, and
17	verification of your compliance with the state
18	ethics laws. No one has filed an affidavit in
19	opposition to your candidacy, and no one has
20	requested the ability to speak today for you or
21	against you. The floor is yours. You can make a
22	brief opening statement, but given the tardiness
23	of the start of this hearing, we will not expect
24	much. You can say all or as little as you want
25	or waive it.

Page 73

i	
1	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: I will waive it. Can I reserve
2	it for the end?
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You can do it now and later, if you
4	want.
5	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We'll let you do that at the end, if
7	you like.
8	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Mr. Crawford?
10	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:
11	Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Nichols-Graham,
12	could you please state for the record the city
13	and circuit in which you live?
14	A. I live in Greenville, and it's the Thirteenth
15	Judicial Circuit.
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record
17	that based on the testimony contained in the
18	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
19	record with the candidate's consent, Ms. Nichols-
20	Graham meets the statutory requirements for this
21	position regarding age, residence, and years of
22	practice. Ms. Nichols-Graham, why do you wish to
23	serve as a family court judge?
24	A. I would like to serve as a family court judge to
25	have the opportunity to serve additional people.

Page 74

1		I have been given a great opportunity being a
2		public interest attorney, a lawyer at South
3		Carolina Legal Services, and I truly appreciate
4		that opportunity. However, I would like the
5		chance to serve a larger group of people in
б		different types of cases, all of the different
7		types of cases that appear before family court.
8		And I believe that given my vast experience that
9		I would be a pretty good candidate for family
10		court in the fact that I have, I guess, a non-
11		traditional background, having been a legal
12		services attorney and having I'm experienced
13		in other areas of the law and other areas related
14		to family court. Then that would probably be a
15		little different than some of the candidates that
16		you normally see.
17	Q.	Okay. You do have a different background, as you
18		said. Why do you feel that that background
19		how will it assist you in becoming an effective
20		judge?
21	Α.	Because family court and families do not exist in
22		a vacuum, and there are lots of other issues that
23		families struggle with or encounter that impact
24		the decisions that they make in their household,
25		families with and without children, and also my -

Γ

1		- the ability that I've had to help develop the
2		education law practice at South Carolina Legal
3		Services is it relates to family court and a
4		lot of the issues that we see in family court.
5		The community has been speaking about employment
6		opportunities for South Carolinians, and children
7		are not raised in a vacuum. I believe that
8		education law and the things that happen in the
9		family are related. They're not two separate
10		things, because, you know as you know,
11		children are not, you know, going to be raised in
12		orphanages. I think we envision that our South
13		Carolina families are going to be raised in the
14		21st century graduates.
15	Q.	Thank you, Ms. Graham. Are there any areas of
16		law that you have not experienced or have not
17		practiced in that you would need additional
18		preparation or study for to serve as a family
19		court judge? And how would you prepare for that?
20	Α.	I do not believe there are any areas of law that
21		I have not practiced in or worked in. In the
22		past, when I have applied to be a family court
23		judge initially I thought that I would I
24		would need some assistance with juvenile justice
25		cases. But given the case work and the children
	1	

1		and families that I've represented with special
2		needs or who are involved in disciplinary matters
3		that also are facing DJJ cases in family court, I
4		believe I do have more experience than I
5		initially thought I did when I first applied in
6		the fall of 2012. So I'm confident in a lot of
7		areas of law that appear that are heard in
8		family court. Because I'm a legal services
9		attorney, I have had the ability to represent
10		people in DSS cases. I've had some appearances
11		in DJJ cases. I have represented individuals in
12		all kinds of custody cases, third party custody
13		cases, biological parent custody cases, child
14		support enforcement cases, divorce, orders of
15		protections, adoptions. So I rule to show
16		cause hearings. I have a vast experience in the
17		different types of cases that appear routinely
18		before the judges that hear family court cases.
19	Q.	What about complex family court matters?
20	Α.	I have. I have had the opportunity to represent
21		people in a lot of contested cases, a lot of
22		complex and sensitive issues. I've represented
23		families who are seeking to terminate parental
24		rights in contested complicated cases and
25		families who are seeking to prevent the

ĺ		
1		termination of parental rights in contested cases
2		and complicated custody cases and things of that
3		nature.
4	Q.	Have you had a lot of experience with the
5		financial aspects of family court?
6	Α.	I have, given the clients that I that we
7		represent. But I guess a lot of people wouldn't
8		necessarily know that we are we do have
9		funding that allows us to represent victims of
10		crime who would not ordinarily qualify, based on
11		our income guidelines. If they have not been
12		able to secure legal representation from a
13		private attorney, and they can document that,
14		then I have represented individuals who have
15		who would not qualify at all for family court.
16		I've filed rule to show causes to enforce
17		alimony, property divisions, you know, all types
18		of matters that you wouldn't traditionally think
19		of a local legal services agency representing
20		individuals in.
21	Q.	Thank you, Ms. Graham. Ms. Nichols-Graham I'm
22		sorry, I said Ms. Graham.
23	Α.	That's fine.
24	Q.	The Commission received 152 ballot box surveys
25		regarding you with 25 additional comments. For

Page 78

1		example the Ballot Box contained the positive
2		following comments: I have known Kimaka as a
3		practicing attorney for many years. She has had
4		the skills, temperament and knowledge to make a
5		really good family court judge and fair,
6		organized, able to make decisions, committed to
7		the law, respects all people, able to listen,
8		outstanding work ethic, never stops researching
9		the issues. Only one of the written comments
10		expressed concerns and that you've kind of
11		been through this. That comment suggests that
12		you do not possess enough experience in family
13		court to actually sit on the bench. How would
14		you respond to that concern?
15	Α.	I probably would need to know a little bit about
16		the person's background who made that comment.
17		They may not have been practicing in family
18		court, I would say, in Greenville County in the
19		last two or three years. They may have begun
20		practicing at that point in time. Because I have
21		20 years of experience. The first 15 years of my
22		experience at South Carolina Legal Services I
23		spent more time in the courtroom. My first year
24		as an attorney I was able to represent over 100
25		individuals and at least 70 of them were family

1	court cases that were filed in family court, and
2	that was my first year of practicing law. In
3	looking at pulling some of the statistics that we
4	keep, I've had well over seven or eight hundred
5	family court cases. I have been the managing
б	attorney of the Greenville office for the last
7	five years, and we serve four different counties
8	out of that office, Anderson, Greenville,
9	Pickens, and Oconee. So if that individual was
10	probably new to the practice of law and maybe
11	only practicing in Greenville County, they may
12	not have seen me in the last few years, but I do
13	still get to family court. I was in court a
14	couple of times this month, sometimes as much as
15	four or five times a month. Of course, when I
16	was a you know, a younger attorney and for the
17	first 15 years I could be in court four or five
18	times a week or more than that, four or five days
19	a week or more than that, but because of the
20	ability that I've been given to manage that
21	office and some other endeavors at the firm that
22	assist with the administration of justice on
23	other levels, I'm not in court as often as I was.
24	But again, I don't know if that individual was
25	even practicing law at that time.

1	Q.	Ms. Nichols-Graham, what do you feel is the
2		appropriate demeanor for a family court judge,
3		and maybe tell the Commission some family court
4		judges you admire and would aspire to be like
5		should you accede to the bench?
6	Α.	I believe a family court judge should not display
7		emotions from the bench, that temperament should
8		be calm. They should be not they should not
9		be communicating non-verbal making non-verbal
10		communications with any of the parties during the
11		cases. I do not believe that anger should be
12		displayed in the courtroom. I believe that the
13		attorneys and pro se litigants and anyone
14		involved who steps in that courtroom should be
15		treated with respect, and they should be given
16		every opportunity to maintain their own dignity.
17		And some of the judges that I I've admired a
18		lot of judges. I've had the opportunity to see a
19		lot of family court judges, even before I started
20		practicing law, because I did a lot of clerkships
21		in front of in family courts in Richland
22		County and other places. So I have seen a lot of
23		judges, so I can't I hate to limit it to a few
24		judges to say that I admire their temperament. I
25		would say perhaps Judge Letitia Verdin in

1		Greenville County and other judges on the
2		Greenville County bench. But if I start naming
3		names, I feel like I'll have to name a lot more
4		than you have time to hear, so
5	Q.	Thank you.
6	Α.	Okay.
7	MS.	CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record
8		that the Upstate Citizens Committee found Ms.
9		Nichols-Graham qualified in the evaluative
10		criteria of constitutional qualifications,
11		physical health, and mental stability. The
12		Committee found Ms. Nichols-Graham well qualified
13		in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,
14		professional and academic ability, character,
15		reputation, experience, and judicial temperament.
16		The Committee did not offer any written comments
17		or summary. I have a few housekeeping issues,
18		questions.
19	Q.	Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you're
20		bound by the code of judicial conduct as found in
21		Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court
22		Rules?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
25		contacted any members of the commission about

1 your candidacy? 2 Α. No. 3 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. 4 the limitations on contacting members of the 5 general assembly regarding your screening? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 8 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 9 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 10 screening? 11 Α. No. 12 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact 13 members of the general assembly on your behalf? 14 Α. No. 15 Are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene Q. 16 in this process on your behalf? 17 Α. No. 18 And have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 19 Commission's guidelines on pledging and the rules 20 and the South Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Mr. Chairman, I note for the record MS. CRAWFORD: 23 that any concerns raised during the investigation 24 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 25 the questioning of the candidate today. And I

1		have no further questions.
2	KIMAKA NI	CHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
3	Q.	Okay, thank you. Ms. Nichols-Graham, I want to
4		ask you a couple of things. In terms of your
5		experience in looking at your PDQ, you've been
6		give me the history and your work out of law
7		school. Give me the benefit of your practice
8		outside, once you finished law school.
9	А.	My first year at South Carolina Legal Services I
10		was a general staff attorney. However, most of
11		the cases I received were family law cases. I
12		represented individuals in custody cases, in
13		contempt cases. I also represented individuals
14		in housing cases and some Social Security cases.
15		After that first year, a position opened up that
16		allowed us to begin a children's law attorney
17		position. And at that point I was able to
18		continue representing clients in family court,
19		also adding in adoption work to custody and
20		divorce and other issues that we routinely see
21		that the public needs assistance with. But I
22		began to develop education law as a practice area
23		at South Carolina Legal Services, representing
24		students who had special needs, who needed
25		additional services in order to participate in

1	the educational process, and who were facing
2	school disciplinary issues if school safety was
3	not an issue. And I also was able to I guess
4	you would say oversee other adoptions and in
5	other counties. At that point, we were still
6	operating under regional legal services delivery
7	program, and I was working at Legal Services of
8	Western Carolina. That was before all of those
9	entities merged into one statewide law firm that
10	we have now. And in that particular office
11	served counties from Greenwood through Anderson,
12	Oconee, Pickens, and Greenville. And so I was
13	monitoring and providing feedback and assisting
14	attorneys who were representing individuals in
15	adoption cases, special needs adoption cases, as
16	the children's law attorney. And then after the
17	merger, we no longer had separate funding for a
18	children's law attorney position, so my position
19	went back to a staff attorney position. Within a
20	year or two of the merger we were a statewide
21	firm at that point, and so we started developing
22	departments, and they created different units of
23	departments. The education unit is one of those
24	departments. That particular process at legal
25	services is in addition to your work as a staff

1		attorney. So it's an additional duty or
2		responsibility at legal services, and I was the -
3		- became the first education unit head. And so I
4		continued to represent individuals in family
5		court as well as start working on trying to
6		secure funding and figuring out how to add a
7		practice area to our practice. South Carolina
8		Legal Services, now we're a statewide law firm.
9		We have nine different offices. Greenville,
10		Columbia, and Charleston are the largest offices.
11		We provide civil legal services to people who
12		cannot afford legal assistance, and sometimes we
13		do receive grants, like I was referring to our
14		domestic violence grants, that allows us to
15		represent other individuals who wouldn't
16		financially qualify for legal assistance, and so
17		we're actually trying to help close the you
18		know, the justice gap. And so we're definitely a
19		part of the access to justice movement in this
20		state and in many states.
21	Q.	Got you. And I appreciate that. You certainly
22		aren't lacking in details for your career since.
23		Real quick and ever so briefly, your Rutgers
24		experience and the degree in was that a
25		master's?

1	Α.	Yes.
	11.	TCD.

2 Q. In public policy?

3	А.	Yes, sir. It was a master of science and public
4		policy. I was a work study student at Winthrop
5		University, and I worked in the political science
б		department. So I saw all of the literature that
7		came in for all of the professors. And I saw
8		that program, and I was interested in doing that
9		before I went to law school. At the point when I
10		was at Winthrop, I knew I wanted to be a lawyer,
11		but I also wanted to know how education law and
12		policy affected and impacted families, South
13		Carolina families and South Carolinians, in
14		general. And so I was given the I, you know,
15		went to Rutgers it was a one-year program. It
16		was you know, I'm much older than I appear,
17		because that was around the time when the
18		internet came out. And it was a big deal because
19		Rutgers had they had computers and there was
20		the worldwide web and all of that. And so it was
21		quite an experience, but it was only a one-year
22		program, and I finished that program and then I
23		came to law school. I was happy to come back to
24		South Carolina to attend law school, because I
25		intended to represent and serve
	1	

Γ

Page 87

1	Q.	What was the Rutgers mascot?
2	Α.	It was it's the what is it? I know it's
3		red and white. It's garnet.
4	Q.	I have no idea. I'm just wondering if you, in
5		your master's study there, would you have found
6		that out.
7	Α.	It was a one-year program. Everybody didn't have
8		computers, so I spent a lot of time in a computer
9		lab, because we didn't have our personal
10		computers. And so it was very it was very
11		intensive.
12	Q.	Good deal. In your PDQ you list your mix of
13		practice. I think I've read this correctly.
14		Fifty-three percent domestic and perhaps 47
15		percent civil
16	Α.	Yes, other than domestic.
17	Q.	did I get that right? So what is the civil
18		component of your practice that is not domestic?
19	Α.	It would probably be education. It would also be
20		like administrative law, Social Security cases.
21		I've also practiced bankruptcy law. I've
22		represented individuals in some bankruptcy cases,
23		so
24	Q.	And perhaps and forgive me for interrupting, I
25		may have misread it, but I'm taking that to mean

Page 88

1		presently your mix, your practice
2	Α.	Uh-huh, my present, my mostly now my caseload
3		is family, education, and I have like one Social
4		Security case. My caseload is much smaller than
5		it used to be, but I still maintain a caseload,
6		and I do represent individuals who are seeking
7		orders of protection in family court as well as
8		that.
9	Q.	Okay. And good and majority good. It marks for
10		honesty in terms of your even-handedness,
11		compassion, pleasantness, devotion to your job.
12		There is a theme, though, of a lack of
13		familiarity with you in the family court
14		trenches. You answered a question earlier that
15		perhaps they don't know you as well as perhaps
16		others do, but in terms of it's hard for you
17		to try a case for custody although you've
18		mentioned one in here in your five that you've
19		cited. It's hard for you to try a case over
20		equitable distribution, I guess. You've not done
21		that, could not do that with your present job, I
22		guess, could you?
23	Α.	No, I would not say that I could not do that and
24		have not done that with my present job.
25	Q.	Well, you wear only the hat or is it a part-
	1	

1		time position?
2	Α.	You mean, right now, currently?
3	Q.	Presently.
4	Α.	Currently I have not had a contested equitable
5		distribution case this year. I have not done
б		that this year.
7	Q.	When's the last time you have?
8	Α.	It would probably have been it may have been
9		four or five years ago that I had an equitable
10		distribution case where there was enough assets
11		that would be I guess, you know, referring to
12		the cases, the type of cases that you were
13		that you are seeking. I am the direct supervisor
14		of eight attorneys at South Carolina Legal
15		Services. The majority of the cases that, you
16		know of course that we receive are family
17		consumer and housing cases.
18	Q.	And let me just interrupt, because I think I
19		understand. I think I know the answer to this,
20		but you can't you're not representing folks
21		for hire outside of your full-time position at
22		legal services department, correct?
23	Α.	No, I do not maintain a private practice. I do
24		not practice privately outside of South Carolina
25		Legal Services.
	1	

1	Q.	The areas that you've listed that y'all render
2		services for don't allow you to litigate alimony,
3		support and maintenance
4	A.	We do litigate. We do we are allowed to
5		litigate alimony and support and maintenance. We
6		receive cases daily. And in the office that I
7		work in we receive probably anywhere from 40 to
8		60 applicants for legal services every week. And
9		I determine which cases we have the staff and we
10		are able to take and which cases that I'm able to
11		take at the current time with my caseload.
12	Q.	All right. So on that front, you said you
13		supervise eight. You're a staff attorney, too.
14		That's a distinction with the department, I
15		guess, right?
16	Α.	Yes, I'm the managing attorney.
17	Q.	So
18	Α.	In the Greenville office.
19	Q.	And you've been that managing attorney for how
20		long?
21	A.	For the last five years.
22	Q.	All right. So you delegate to your brothers and
23		sisters within the office of lawyers, correct?
24	Α.	Yes, I do. And I'm responsible for all of those
25		cases. And

Page 91

1	Q.	You are more would it be fair to say more
2		supervisory? You're kind of the conductor?
3		You're calling the shots?
4	Α.	At this point in time, most of I would say the
5		majority of my time is spent on supervising and
6		guiding as well as dealing with individuals who
7		are seeking legal services, people who would
8		appear in court pro se or unrepresented by any
9		attorney anywhere. But in the supervision
10		requirements we are I have to have a good feel
11		and an understanding of every case that we have
12		open in my office. And I am not only quarterly
13		doing case reviews, but daily, sometimes hourly,
14		meeting with attorneys, helping them to develop
15		strategy, giving them guidance on how to deal
16		with matters that are before the family court. I
17		can think of three of them that I spoke with an
18		attorney about and gave guidance to as to family
19		court this morning.
20	Q.	Okay.
21	Α.	Before I came to the public hearing, so I'm very
22		much involved in those decisions.
23	Q.	I know, and don't think that I'm suggesting
24		you're not. Obviously, you have to be with that
25		many people, but and just to make sure, the

1		practice areas that you listed on your PDQ
2		involve divorce, custody, school discipline,
3		special education, special needs, relative
4		adoptions, bankruptcy, credit card defense, and
5		children's Social Security appeals. When you
6		list divorce, do you mean the whole gamut of a
7		divorce, including separate support and
8		maintenance or alimony if it's equitable
9		distribution?
10	Α.	Yes, it depends on the case, the facts of the
11		case and what assistance the client is seeking
12		whether or not it's going to be a separate
13		support and maintenance case or divorce case.
14	Q.	All right. And those are one in the totally
15		different, right, a divorce is?
16	Α.	Yes, they are different causes of action.
17	Q.	But and so in the last five years have you
18		litigated a divorce involving equitable
19		distribution, financial issues?
20	Α.	In the last five years, I probably I probably
21		have in the last five years. My last contested
22		litigation would be orders of protection for
23		domestic abuse and divorce not divorce, and
24		termination of parental rights, both sides,
25		either someone seeking to terminate someone's

1		parental rights or someone trying to maintain
2		their parental rights, those off the top of my
3		head without having the opportunity to go
4		actually pull the statistics, because I do I'm
5		exposed to a lot of cases. But off the top of my
6		head, those would be the main areas that I've,
7		you know, had contested cases in, in family
8		court. I guess you would say most recently. I
9		wouldn't say so I can't necessarily say five
10		years, but those would be the you know, the
11		ones that I can, you know, speak to without
12		actually pulling up our software system.
13	Q.	Finally, do y'all, within your department,
14		participate in mediation on any of these issues?
15	Α.	Our clients are if it's a contested case
16		involving custody and visitation, then they are
17		going to go through mediation if they don't
18		settle before they you know, before it's time
19		to request a final hearing.
20	Q.	Okay.
21	А.	And we've done that forever. Greenville was the
	11.	And we ve done that forever. Greenville was the
22	11.	pilot county for mediation in South Carolina in
22 23	11.	
		pilot county for mediation in South Carolina in
23		pilot county for mediation in South Carolina in the judicial department. And actually the

Page 94

1		the hall.
2	Q.	Are you a mediator?
3	А.	I am not a mediator. I do not mediate.
4	Q.	I'm not either. When is the last time you
5		personally represented someone in a mediation?
б	Α.	It would have been this year or last year, and
7		was the last time that I went to the mediation
8		center to represent someone in mediation.
9	Q.	Nothing further from me. Senator Sabb Senator
10		Young?
11	MS. NICHO	LS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
12	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Nichols-Graham,
13		thank you so much for your interest in serving
14		the state on the family court. One of the
15		questions I have for you, and I'm not sure if
16		you've addressed this with the other questions
17		you've taken, because I had to step out for just
18		one moment, but the question I have is in the
19		area of abuse and neglect.
20	Α.	Uh-huh.
21	Q.	Will you just briefly elaborate on your
22		experience with any kind of abuse with abuse and
23		neglect cases?
24	Α.	We I used to accept and actually represent a
25		lot of individuals in those cases. It was

Γ

1		primarily before we started doing the contract
2		system. When we had court-appointed attorneys
3		who were you know, we used to pick between
4		family law and criminal law. And so I have
5		represented defendants in the abuse and neglect
6		cases, DSS cases. I do have that experience in
7		my background.
8	Q.	The bar of comments about you, most of them are
9		extremely complimentary. One of them in
10		particular mentions a family court case you took
11		to the Supreme Court. Have you argued something
12		at the Supreme Court that was a family court
13		case?
14	Α.	I have at the Court of Appeals, but I have
15		written briefs and prepped attorneys for moot
16		court experiences and supreme court cases, so I
17		have a written a part of a supreme court case
18		that I'm not a named attorney of.
19	Q.	Maybe whoever this anonymous comment was meant
20		the South Carolina Court of Appeals. What was
21		the South Carolina Court of Appeals family court
22		case?
23	Α.	The South Carolina Court of Appeals family court
24		case, it was a custody case. And it was a rather
25		convoluted custody case that began, I think, with

PROCEEDINGS

1		a DSS case. And then custody it was a third
2		party custody case against relatives. The
3		supreme court case was a termination of parental
4		rights case. It was the Broom case that I was
5		involved in. Although I was not the attorney of
6		record, I was heavily involved in that particular
7		case.
8	Q.	Thank you very much.
9	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb?
10	MS. NICHO	LS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB:
11	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good to see you.
12	Α.	Good to see you.
13	Q.	And I would echo Senator Young's point of thank
14		you for offering. I was listening attentively to
15		the exchange between you and the Chairman, and it
16		seemed to me like he was trying to get to an
17		issue. And so I want to see whether or not I can
18		carve it out. So as I understand it, the first
19		15 years that you spent with legal services, you
20		were more of a litigator; is that accurate?
21	Α.	That would be yes, more. Yes.
22	Q.	And the last five years you've been in more of a
23		supervisory role?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	And of course, in order to supervise you've got

1		to understand the law and know how to help others
2		both identify and address issues as they come up,
3		right?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And in the first 15 years or so of your practice
6		as a litigator, you litigated custody issues?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	All kinds of divorces, whether it's did y'all
9		do fault grounds as well as one year separation
10		and desertion?
11	Α.	Yes. It is rare that we do one year separation,
12		so it is usually a fault-based ground.
13	Q.	Yeah. So you've litigated the various causes for
14		divorce, you've litigated custody issues, you've
15		litigated child support issues, you've litigated
16		alimony issues, and you've litigated equitable
17		distribution issues?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And obviously, you don't deal with the multi-
20		million dollar clients, but you understand the
21		financial aspects of divorce and equitable
22		distribution and all of those kinds of things,
23		right?
24	Α.	I definitely do.
25	Q.	No further questions.

1	
1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. Hitchcock?
2	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY MR. HITCHCOCK:
3	Q. Ms. Nichols-Graham, this isn't really by way of a
4	question. This is hopefully at the end of
5	this you'll just say yes and agree with me. But
6	I just wanted to say I greatly appreciate your
7	willingness to offer for this position. I know
8	you've been down this road a couple of times. I
9	was here the last time that you offered, and I
10	was very impressed by your credentials and your
11	experience, and I'm happy to see you back. And I
12	just want to wish you well as you continue on in
13	this process and just wanted to say that I'm glad
14	that you've decided to stay with it and keep
15	pursuing this goal, so, thank, you.
16	A. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Any other questions, y'all?
18	All right. Thank you. And Ms. Nichols-Graham,
19	this will conclude this portion of the screening
20	process. You asked at the outset for the ability
21	to make closing comments. You're welcome to do
22	that if you still feel the need to do so.
23	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Yes, I do. I began well, the
24	first time I applied to be a family court judge
25	was in the fall of 2012. That was six years ago.

1	That was before I became a managing attorney at
2	South Carolina Legal Services. I had the
3	privilege to become a managing attorney at South
4	Carolina Legal Services in April of 2013.
5	Although assuming a management role removed me
б	from being, I guess you would say, a 100 percent
7	100 percent of my time being spent in the
8	courtroom, it has given me other skills and
9	opportunities that a family court judge needs.
10	I'm required to review and assess cases quickly
11	and make decisions and explain my decisions, not
12	only to the people that apply for legal services,
13	but also to our attorneys and to other attorneys
14	in the community. And a lot of the people who
15	apply for assistance at South Carolina Legal
16	Services are routinely facing issues in family
17	court. And I explain when we cannot accept them
18	for legal assistance. And so I have to operate
19	on a level that is open, that is transparent, and
20	communicate with people who are not always
21	attorneys who are, you know, by and large, pro se
22	individuals who would be appearing in family
23	court, either with the assistance of an attorney
24	from our office or by themselves. And so I have
25	to assess all of the issues that are going to

Page 100

1	appear before family court. A lot of times I
2	explain the law to those individuals and how the
3	facts relate to their situation and to their
4	circumstance, and then I go the additional step
5	of a lot of times sometimes I have to explain
6	why we cannot represent them. And I, you know
7	so I have had a lot of experience with dealing
8	with individuals who are in crises, who are
9	dealing with very complicated matters. And I
10	would just like to say that the amount of wealth
11	that an individual has does not necessarily mean
12	that's how complicated their case may be.
13	Individual's custody cases are very complicated.
14	Adoption cases are very complicated. DSS cases
15	are very complicated. As the managing attorney,
16	we also have private contracts with private
17	attorneys, and I oversee those contracts. I
18	review those attorneys fees and determine whether
19	or not they are warranted, whether or not the
20	work should be paid for by South Carolina Legal
21	Services. So I have been more involved in, I
22	guess you would say, reviewing attorney fee
23	situations and matters than I did when I was a
24	staff attorney as a managing attorney. And so I
25	you know and so the fact that I have a lot

Γ

Page 101

1	of experience, that has given me the opportunity
2	to serve as the managing attorney is a very
3	positive thing, because because it's based on
4	experience. It's not just, you know, a random
5	appointment at legal services.
6	SENATOR SABB: So if I were grading closing arguments,
7	I'd give you an A+, okay.
8	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And with that, we will close the
10	record. And I want to remind you and get you to
11	acknowledge that you are aware of, again, the
12	criteria that we abide by, that being that you as
13	a candidate maintain both the spirit and the
14	letter of the laws of South Carolina ethics. And
15	so that if there was any violation or any
16	appearance of impropriety, you realize that we
17	would have the right to call you back, because
18	this record is not closed. You understand that,
19	correct?
20	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Yes, I do understand that.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We don't expect that to be the
22	case, but nonetheless we've got to put it on the
23	record and your acknowledgment. And with that,
24	Ms. Nichols-Graham, God bless you. Thank you for
25	your patience and continued service.

Page 102

1	MS. NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Thank y'all for your service to
2	South Carolina.
3	
4	(Off the Record)
5	HONORABLE JESSICA ANN SALVINI
6	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'm filling in today, Ms.
7	Salvini.
8	JUDGE SALVINI: Not a problem
9	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Salvini, I'm sorry.
10	JUDGE SALVINI: No, that's okay.
11	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: I remember you from last time.
12	JUDGE SALVINI: It's good to see y'all again.
13	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good to see you. Will you raise
14	your right hand, please, ma'am?
15	HONORABLE JESSICA ANN SALVINI, having been duly sworn,
16	testifies as follows:
17	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Judge Salvini, you
18	have your Personal Data Questionnaire and your
19	Sworn Statement before you. Are both of those
20	documents that you have filled out?
21	JUDGE SALVINI: They are.
22	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any amendments or
23	changes that you would like to make at this time?
24	JUDGE SALVINI: No, sir.
25	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to us

1	making that a part of this record of the hearing?	
2	JUDGE SALVINI: I do not.	
3	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you'll hand that to Lindi for	
4	me, please, ma'am, we'll make that as an exhibit.	
5	All right, Judge Salvini. The Judicial Merit	
б	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated	
7	your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry	
8	has focused on the nine evaluative criteria.	
9	We're received a ballot box survey, a thorough	
10	study of your application materials, verification	
11	of your compliance with the state ethics laws, a	
12	search of newspaper articles in which your name	
13	appears, a study of previous screenings, and	
14	checks for economic conflicts of interest. We've	
15	received no affidavits filed in opposition to	
16	your election. There are no witnesses present to	
17	testify. You can make a brief opening statement	
18	if you like, or we can proceed with the	
19	screening. Your choice.	
20	(Exhibit Number 16 was marked for identification	
21	purposes - (26 pages) Hon. Jessica Ann Salvini -	
22	Personal Data Questionnaire.)	
23	(Exhibit Number 17 was marked for identification	
24	purposes - (13 pages) Hon. Jessica Ann Salvini - Sworn	
25	Statement.)	

1	JUDGE SALVINI: I would just like to thank you all for
2	the honor of being here this evening, but
3	otherwise, I'm happy to answer any questions. I
4	know I'm the last candidate for today, so
5	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: That's a very good opening
6	statement. Thank you very much. We'll turn it
7	over to Mr. Appleby, please.
8	JUDGE SALVINI: All right.
9	JUDGE SALVINI - EXAMINATION BY MR. APPLEBY:
10	Q. Judge Salvini, please state for the record the
11	city and circuit in which you reside.
12	A. I live in Greenville, the city of Greenville and
13	Greenville County, in the Thirteenth Judicial
14	Circuit.
15	MR. APPLEBY: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that
16	based on the testimony contained in the
17	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
18	record with the candidate's consent, Judge
19	Salvini meets the statutory requirements for this
20	position regarding age, residence, and years of
21	practice.
22	Q. Judge Salvini, why do you want to serve as a
23	family court judge, and why do you feel that your
24	legal and professional experience qualify and
25	will assist you to be an effective judge?

1	Α.	All right. It's has been my goal to serve on the
2		bench full-time. I am excited about that. I
3		have been serving as a city judge. I'm now
4		since 2007. So now I'm the chief trial judge for
5		the City of Mauldin, and I love it. It's the
6		highlight of my practice. And I enjoy it more
7		now than I have when I first began, primarily
8		because I have learned just a tremendous amount.
9		And I have enjoyed serving the public for the
10		City of Mauldin. It has been the most rewarding
11		part of my practice now, and so I'm in a position
12		where my I am very, very grateful and
13		thankful, and I hope it continues for my law
14		partner and my associate, but my practice if
15		thriving. I'm in a position to where I can serve
16		full-time. I have been a family court
17		practitioner for 17, going on 18, years. I
18		let's see, my first one of my first cases
19		after passing the bar was a divorce, so and
20		since that time I began a family court practice
21		that is pretty substantial. So I have served as
22		a Guardian ad Litem to begin with to really learn
23		about the family court system, how cases work,
24		and custody battles ensue. Early on in my
25		practice there was a point in time it used to be

1		where a larger law firm would hire associates or
2		single sole practitioners to do Department of
3		Social Services cases. And so I took those on,
4		so I've handled numerous Department of Social
5		Services cases. I began a divorce practice.
б		I've handled I can't think of a an estate
7		that I haven't handled. I have handled cases
8		where there is nothing to fight over. And I've
9		handled cases where there are millions of dollars
10		to fight over and businesses and medical
11		practices. I have done DJJ cases, although
12		that's more of my limited practice, but I have
13		do state criminal work. So I'm at a point now
14		where I feel like I'm in a position to serve
15		full-time, and I'm excited about it.
16	Q.	Are there any areas of the law for which you
17		would need additional preparation in order to
18		serve as a family court judge, and how would you
19		handle that additional preparation?
20	Α.	I think as I look at my family court practice, as
21		I mentioned, my DJJ work is probably the most
22		limited. I think the last time I handled a DJJ
23		case was probably I don't know, three or four
24		years ago easily. I have a pretty extensive
25		state criminal practice, so I do think that that

Page 107

1		helps me. But nevertheless that would be the
2		area that I think that I would want to study up
3		on, really re-familiarize myself with those
4		cases. It's not that I don't like to do them. I
5		just they don't come through the door that
б		often. If I handle one, it's because I've got a
7		friend of a friend whose child is in trouble and
8		they've asked me to step in, and I'm happy to do
9		it. It's just that's the I think out of all
10		of my domestic practice, that's the area that I
11		would be the weakest. And I think that by
12		talking to my fellow members on the bench,
13		observing hearings, which is what I did when I
14		first became a city court judge in fact, I
15		drove Judge Stillwell probably crazy sitting with
16		him. I think I told you all that before, but
17		he's been still wonderful to me when I call him
18		to ask questions. And I think that I could
19		pretty easily get up to speed on it.
20	Q.	Please briefly describe your experience in
21		handling complex contested family court matters,
22		and specifically discuss your experience with the
23		financial aspects of family court work?
24	А.	So as I've mentioned, I've done an extensive
25		amount of divorce, so I when it comes to

1		complex family court litigation dealing with
2		equitable distribution of assets, I think I've
3		handled a wide variety. So I have I have
4		fought over everything you can imagine,
5		especially, I think, when it comes to complex
б		litigation. Medical practices have been probably
7		the hardest thing for me to fight over, how to
8		determine the values of those and divide them up.
9		I have fought over numerous different types of
10		businesses and hired experts to help me
11		understand exactly how I'm going to value that.
12		I've had areas where I have I have fought over
13		different types of stocks that I'm not sure how
14		should be valued and really had to dig in and
15		learn about how to assess those. I have fought
16		over, boy, transmutation about inheritances used
17		during the course of a marriage to buy things,
18		where property hasn't been titled in both peoples
19		names. So I think that during the course of the
20		last 17 years I've handled a pretty extensive
21		amount of equitable distribution cases. I feel
22		pretty confident in terms of how I my
23		experience level on handling those.
24	Q.	Judge Salvini, the commission received 220 ballot
25		box surveys regarding you with 22 additional

1		comments. The ballot box surveys, for example,
2		contained the following positive comments: Ms.
3		Salvini's work ethic is beyond reproach; She
4		treats every case with the same amount of passion
5		and always takes an open approach to every
6		situation; She is an exception person and
7		attorney; Ms. Salvini is an extraordinary family
8		court lawyer who would make for a well-qualified
9		judge; She is well versed in family law, and has
10		the type of temperament one would seek in a
11		judge. However, three of the written comments
12		expressed concerns. The comments indicate a
13		concern about your genuineness and potential to
14		be using the family court as a stepping stone for
15		serving on the circuit court bench. What
16		response would you offer to this concern?
17	Α.	So I thought about this quite a bit before I
18		decided to make the decision to apply for the
19		family court versus the circuit court. And I did
20		run for the circuit court last year. I had the
21		opportunity this year to either run for this seat
22		and seek a family court position, or to run for
22 23		and seek a family court position, or to run for the at-large seat and seek a circuit court
23		the at-large seat and seek a circuit court

1	and have dealt with me or have observed me on the
2	bench for the City of Mauldin would know that I
3	am very up front. I treat everybody the same
4	way. I'm very fair and open about how I feel and
5	what I think and what my positions are. I talk
6	to my delegation. I talk to members that are
7	already on the bench and my colleagues about the
8	benefits of being on the family court bench
9	versus the circuit court bench. And I thought
10	about my experience. I talked to them about the
11	pros and the cons, and asked them to be very
12	honest with me about where I would be most
13	useful, beneficial, and ultimately the consensus
14	was you should be on the family court bench. You
15	are a family court practitioner. You do an
16	excellent job in family court and you love it, so
17	what's the hesitation. And so I waited. I
18	looked at my circuit court practice, my general
19	sessions trial criminal practice, my family court
20	practice, and I'm not looking to use this as a
21	stepping stone. I want to serve full-time. I
22	love it. I love the practice of law, but I also
23	love being on the bench, so I don't look at that
24	as a concern. I weighed the decision carefully
25	and made the decision that family court is where

I

1		I should be.
2	Q.	Just a few housekeeping issues. Are you aware
3		that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the
4		Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of
5		the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
б	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
8		contacted any members of the commission about
9		your candidacy?
10	Α.	I have not.
11	Q.	Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
12		the limitations on contacting members of the
13		general assembly regarding your screening?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
16		sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
17		either prior to this date or pending the outcome
18		of your screening?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact
21		members of the general assembly on your behalf,
22		or are you aware of anyone attempting to
23		intervene in this process on your behalf?
24	Α.	No.
25	Q.	Have you reviewed and do you understand the

commission's guidelines on pledging in South
Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)?
A. Yes.
MR. APPLEBY: I would note that the Upstate Citizens
Committee reported Judge Salvini to be well-
qualified in the evaluation criteria of ethical
fitness, professional and academic ability,
character, reputation, experience, and judicial
temperament, and qualified in the remaining
evaluative criteria of constitutional
qualifications, physical health, and mental
stability. I would not for the record that any
concerns raised during the investigation
regarding the candidate were incorporation into
the questioning of the candidate today. Mr.
Chairman, I have no further questions.
VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you.
JUDGE SALVINI - EXAMINATION BY VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH:
Q. Judge, let me ask you this question, because
you're filling Judge Kinlaw's you're applying
for Judge Kinlaw's seat; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. Do you know how much 2022, so
won't be up for reelection until 2022. And I
heard the question about the stepping stone to

Page 113

1		
1		circuit court. And you say your passion is in
2		family court, and that's where you'd like to
3		serve; is that correct?
4	Α.	That's correct. That is where I would like to
5		serve.
6	Q.	And so if you were successful in your endeavors
7		of getting elected to this position, would you
8		do you plan on serving that entire term?
9	Α.	Yes, sir.
10	Q.	Okay. And should a circuit court judge race seat
11		come up, would you seek that, or would you do
12		you intend to continue to serve the term that you
13		would be elected here?
14	Α.	It is not my intention to seek a different seat.
15		I would serve on this seat and this term.
16	Q.	All right. And I'll just say this. I don't
17		think you know, obviously some people see
18		circuit court as a step up. Some people say I
19		want to be in family court forever, and that's my
20		goal. And I don't want to say this by trying to
21		limit you where you go. I mean, you may be on
22		the Supreme Court one day, so, you know, I'm not
23		trying to limit you, but I would have some
24		concerns if you were to seek this seat and then
25		turn around in a year or two years and try to

Page 114

1		seek another seat and leave this one vacant.
2		And, you know, we invest in judges like you
3		know, you've probably have had associates that
4		always say I invest in associates, and we try to
5		bring them along, and if it doesn't work out, you
6		know, you've lost that investment. And we invest
7		in judges, and so I just wanted to make sure that
8		you intend to serve that entire term.
9	Α.	I do intend to serve the entire term, and I
10		and I'm I mean, I'm young enough that I intend
11		to serve hopefully another term, if y'all
12		continue to have me on. But I certainly would
13		never say I would never seek to move on to the
14		appellate court or to the circuit court, although
15		that's not my desire. My desire is to serve
16		full-time, and I would finish the term, yes, sir.
17	Q.	Okay. All right. Thank you very much. Any
18		other questions? Senator Young.
19	JUDGE SAL	VINI - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
20	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge, if you could,
21		just briefly could you expand upon your
22		experience with abuse and neglect cases?
23	Α.	Yes. So my experience has been in defending in
24		abuse and neglect cases, so I have probably
25		handled I've handled numerous abuse and

Page 115

1	neglect cases. I've handled the cases that start
2	out from the time that a child is removed and
3	placed in emergency protective custody from the
4	very outset, either being retained or because I
5	was appointed at the time. And I've handled
6	cases that are just intervention cases. I've
7	tried numerous of those cases. I most of the
8	time not successfully. But I have been
9	successful in the past with at least one that I
10	can think of that I'm very, very happy about,
11	because I fought very hard and the children got
12	returned. And so that made me extremely thrilled
13	and my client very happy about it. So I know the
14	process from start to finish where there are time
15	constraints as well as cases where there's just
16	intervention with the intention of returning
17	those children or not removing the children
18	from the home. I have done that I have not
19	handled an abuse and neglect case probably in the
20	last couple of years, but that doesn't hamper my
21	ability to be able to preside over them. I've
22	handled so many over the course of numerous
23	years, and I've even tried I mean, I can
24	recall a week-long case that I tried that was
25	pretty extensive with numerous children and a lot

1		of ugly allegations, but nevertheless I'm very
2		familiar with them.
3	Q.	Thank you.
4	VICE	-CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
5		questions of Judge Salvini? Judge, you enjoy the
6		benefit of being the last person screened today
7		as well also recall you and we screened you last
8		year, so most everybody was on the commission
9		last year, so you also that's probably
10		shortcut a lot of this, so we appreciate you
11		being here today. Judge Salvini, I want to thank
12		you for this opportunity sorry. I want to
13		take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant
14		to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
15		Commission expects the candidates to follow the
16		spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws.
17		And we'll view any violations or the appearance
18		of any impropriety as serious and potentially
19		deserving of heavyweight in the screening
20		deliberations. Do you understand that?
21	JUDG	E SALVINI: I understand.
22	VICE	-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. And on that note, the
23		record will remain open until the formal release
24		of the report of qualifications, and you may be
25		called back at such time if the need arises.

Page 117

1	Hopefully that won't arise. So appreciate you
2	being here. We thank you for your interest in
3	this position and thank you for your service to
4	the State of South Carolina.
5	JUDGE SALVINI: Thank you. I appreciate it very much
6	and hope y'all have a good evening.
7	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. You too. Have a safe
8	drive back.
9	JUDGE SALVINI: Thank you.
10	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Enjoy I-26 at malfunction
11	junction at 5:00 p.m.
12	JUDGE SALVINI: I may get something to eat.
13	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Wait about an hour, hour and
14	half. It'll be a much more pleasant drive.
15	JUDGE SALVINI: Yes, it will, but I don't mind at all.
16	It's good to you all tonight
17	VICE-CHAIRMAN SMITH: Great to see you. Thank you so
18	much. All right. With that being said, Mr. Sabb
19	Senator Sabb moves we go in executive session.
20	All in favor, say aye.
21	(Ayes are heard.)
22	(Executive Session.)
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. We are back on the
24	record. During executive session, the Judicial
25	Merit Selection Commission neither cast any votes

PROCEEDINGS

1	nor conducted any business. Now we will proceed
2	to a vote on the slate of offices today. Do I
3	have a motion.
4	
5	VOTE
б	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Mr. Chairman, I find that we
7	move that we find The Honorable W. Marsh
8	Robertson qualified and nominated.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Second to that? All in
10	favor say aye.
11	(Ayes are heard.)
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The record will reflect there is no
13	dissenting vote there. All right. In the next
14	race, circuit seat 3. If you will poll the
15	members.
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, for the family court 10th
17	circuit, seat 3, we have one candidate, Rame L.
18	Campbell.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is there a motion.
20	MR. HITCHCOCK: Mr. Chairman, prior to the vote I'd
21	like to make a comment in regards to Mr.
22	Campbell, if that would be appropriate at this
23	time.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yes, sir.
25	MR. HITCHCOCK: Based on Mr. Campbell's presentation

Page 119

1	here today and his demeanor and the way that he
2	presented to the Committee, I would say that I
3	have strong reservations in regards to his the
4	evaluative criteria of judicial temperament. And
5	I believe that the presentation that he gave
6	today and the manner that he presented himself
7	really does lend credence to the Upstate Citizens
8	Committee's finding him unqualified in the
9	evaluative criteria of judicial temperament, so I
10	just wanted to the record to reflect that.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And I would like to
12	call attention to my sense that clearly Mr.
13	Campbell lacks experience in family court. He
14	said from the outset of his presentation that he
15	was a trial lawyer, a litigator perhaps. And
16	that's the reputation that he enjoys in the bench
17	the ballot box survey with time and again the
18	comments were he is not experienced in family
19	court. So I would likewise question his
20	experience as a family court judge. Unless there
21	are other comments, we will proceed to a vote of
22	Mr. Campbell's candidacy.
23	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman all those finding Mr.
24	Campbell qualified for family court, 10th
25	circuit, seat 3 please raise your hand.

Page 120

-	
1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For the record, Mr. Campbell
2	receives no votes as qualified. Therefore, that
3	would be, by default, a finding of not qualified,
4	but let's have a vote on that as well. So all
5	those finding Mr. Campbell as not qualified,
6	please raise your hand. Let the record reflect
7	that all members present and one voting by proxy
8	find Mr. Campbell to be not qualified.
9	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, the next race we have is
10	the family court Thirteenth Judicial Circuit,
11	seat 6. We have two candidates. I'll say them
12	in alphabetical order. First of all, the
13	candidates are Kimaka Nichols-Graham and The
14	Honorable Jessica Ann Salvini.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is there a motion for individually
16	and/or yes, sir, Mr. Hitchcock.
17	MR. HITCHCOCK: Mr. Chairman, I would move that we
18	find both candidates qualified and nominated.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Seconded by
20	Representative Smith. All in favor, please show
21	of hands. Mr. Safran also voting. All right.
22	And unanimously by present and voting proxy,
23	which is only Senator Hayes. All right. Folks,
24	that concludes today's slate. See y'all tomorrow
25	morning 9:30.

PROCEEDINGS

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC
3	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY
4	CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON TUESDAY, THE
5	27TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2018, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 120
6	PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY
7	STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS
13	7TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2018. Junifee Noure
14	
15	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 11, 2023
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		17	21	47
Exhibits	\$	36:13 103:23	36:20 44:19	87:14
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	105:17 108:20	216	
181127NO.	\$1,000	18	25:2	5
JMSC_Exh 1_	53:8,16	67:17 105:17	217	
Robertson	\$250,000		21:19	5
4:4 8:2	62:12	2	21st	36:12
181127NO.	\$500,000		75:14	501
JMSC_Exh 2_	42:1 62:12	2	22	53:24 81:21
Robertson		8:5	13:2 22:7	111:4
4:7 8:5	0	2-19-70	108:25	56
181127NO.		17:7 54:6 82:3	220	23:18
JMSC_Exh 5_	05	111:11	108:24	58
Campbell	64:20	2-19-70(E)	24	23:19
4:9 36:12	09	17:23 54:22	23:5 29:20	5:00
181127NO.	38:19 57:2,9	82:20 112:2	25.5 29.20	117:11
JMSC_Exh 6_		20	23:3 77:25	5:25
Campbell	1	10:9 13:2 22:7	<b>2</b> 3.3 77.23 <b>26</b>	121:2
4:12 36:15		25:4 56:19,23	103:21	
181127NO.	1	72:4,7 78:21		6
JMSC_Exh 13_	8:2	2000	27	
Nichols-Graham	100	22:12 61:16	11:3	6
4:14 72:3	78:24 99:6,7	2003		- 36:15,16 120:11
181127NO.	10th	53:4	3	60
JMSC_Exh 14_	118:16 119:24	2005	3	65:20 90:8
Nichols-Graham	114	61:16	118:14,17	65
4:17 72:6	43:17	2007	119:25	41:1
181127NO.	13	105:4	30	
JMSC_Exh 15_	65:18 72:3	2009	25:2 45:18	7
Nichols-Graham	103:24	10:1 15:2 38:2,	<b>378</b>	
4:20 72:9	14	8,16	10:8	7
181127NO.	8:3 45:10,11	2010	10.8	8:6
JMSC_Exh 16_	65:22 72:6	22:12 24:12		- 70
Salvini	15	2012	4	_ 78:25
4:22 103:20	24:1 64:20 72:9	76:6 98:25	40	75
181127NO.	78:21 79:17	2013	45:18 65:19	65:23
JMSC_Exh 17_	96:19 97:5	52:23 99:4	90:7	
Salvini	152	<b>2017</b>	45	9
4:25 103:23	77:24	45:9	56:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	16	43.9 2022	45-minute	97
	43:18 64:5,20	112:23,24	59:3	61:15
	67:18 103:20	112.23,24	0.0	

9:30	accomplishment	additional	affidavit	120:12
120:25	9:8,16	10:10 40:21,23	47:15 49:16	amend
	accomplishment	43:18 73:25	51:20 60:15	35:15
Α	S	75:17 77:25	72:18	Amendment
	9:4	83:25 85:1	affidavits	72:8
A+	accord	100:4 106:17,19	7:19 36:5 60:16	amendments
101:7	57:22	108:25	103:15	102:22
abide	account	additions	affirmed	American
101:12	49:7,8	67:24	33:4,17	13:6 22:10
ability	accountants	address	afford	amount
18:4 55:3 63:9	63:12	97:2	85:12	40:25 42:24
72:20 75:1 76:9	accurate	addressed	afforded	53:8 100:10
79:20 81:14	67:16 96:20	94:16	60:22	105:8 107:25
98:20 112:7	accusers	addressing	afraid	108:21 109:4
115:21	60:20	49:5	12:22	amounts
absolutely	acknowledge	administration	age	41:24,25
47:11	101:11	79:22	37:25 73:21	and/or
abuse	acknowledgmen	administrative	104:20	69:8 120:16
25:22,25 41:9	t	87:20	agency	Anderson
68:14,15 69:11,	101:23	admire	77:19	28:20 37:18
25 92:23 94:19,	acquire	80:4,24	aggressive	39:12 50:11
22 95:5 114:22,	62:21	admired	9:17 49:4,19	52:16 64:17,20
24,25 115:19	action	52:14 80:17	agree	79:8 84:11
abused	53:5 92:16	admit	61:10 98:5	anger
69:14	actions	46:2 47:14	air	80:11
academic	49:14 51:15	adoption	48:23	angry
18:4 55:3 81:14	60:5	27:20 61:21	alimony	25:6
112:7	actual	83:19 84:15	77:17 90:2,5	Ann
academy	67:2	100:14	92:8 97:16	102:5,15
13:6 22:10 30:2,		adoptions	allegation	102:3,15
5	ad	76:15 84:4 92:4	47:6	120:14
accede	64:3,22 67:17	advertising		
80:5	105:22	66:23	<b>allegations</b> 57:13 60:19	<b>anonymous</b> 46:21 59:21
accept	add	advice	69:13 116:1	95:19
94:24 99:17	44:16 67:22	28:4		
access	85:6		alleging	answers 27:9
49:7 85:19	added	advocate	51:3	
accommodating	67:25	39:11	allowed	anti-mandatory 15:11
10:13	adding	advocates	83:16 90:4	
accomplish	68:7 83:19	23:16	alluded	anticipated
-	addition	affected	38:1	20:10
9:6	84:25	86:12	alphabetical	anybody's

21:16	98:24	argued	108:2	attorney
anymore	apply	95:11	assign	53:5,7,12,16,19
29:11	31:8 99:12,15	arguments	12:14	56:8 58:7,8 60:4
apologize	109:18	101:6	assigning	66:12,16,17
6:15,19	applying	arise	33:5	70:5 74:2,12
apparent	10:22 13:25	34:6 61:9 117:1	assignment	76:9 77:13 78:3,
55:13	112:20	arises	12:2	24 79:6,16
apparently	appointed	116:25	assist	83:10,16 84:16,
19:3	27:2,3 69:2	articles	74:19 79:22	18,19 85:1
appeals	115:5	7:16 36:3 72:15	104:25	90:13,16,19
92:5 95:14,20,	appointment	103:12	assistance	91:9,18 95:18
21,23	39:23 101:5	ascended	75:24 83:21	96:5 99:1,3,23
appearance	appraisals	26:3	85:12,16 92:11	100:15,22,24
34:3 48:20	63:7	Asheville	99:15,18,23	101:2 109:7
70:17 101:16	appraisers	50:20	assisting	attorneys
116:17	42:10,16	Ashley	84:13	10:5,7 12:14
appearances	approach	19:12,13	assists	16:19 22:20
76:10	59:20 109:5	asks	39:25	26:19 27:1,2,3
appeared	approximately	23:20	associate	30:12,15,21
38:2	45:18	aspect	105:14	47:23 49:25
appearing	April	41:4 42:9 45:22	associates	50:2,10 52:8
99:22	99:4	61:25 63:22	106:1 114:3,4	66:9 80:13
		66:3 67:5 68:25	association	84:14 89:14
<b>appears</b> 7:17 36:3 66:18	<b>area</b> 23:6 28:22 29:6		29:22 53:1	91:14 95:2,15
72:15 103:13	40:24 41:11,15	aspects 36:22 41:20		99:13,21
	46:3 55:22	46:5 68:17 77:5	assuming	100:17,18
appellate 53:25 81:21	61:10,24 67:1	97:21 107:23	99:5	attorney's
111:5 114:14	83:22 85:7		assure	60:9
	94:19 107:2,10	aspire 80:4	13:7	audibly
Appleby	,		at-large	71:9
7:25 8:15,16 18:1 104:7,9,15	<b>areas</b> 40:9,20 46:5	assembly	109:23	August
112:4	66:25 67:4,6	17:9,17 54:3,8, 16 82:5,13	attempting	68:2
	69:23 74:13	111:13,21	17:18 54:17	autistic
appliances 42:5	75:15,20 76:7		82:15 111:22	38:25
	90:1 92:1 93:6	assess 99:10,25 108:15	attend	awarded
applicants	106:16 108:12	,	86:24	53:7,12
13:22 90:8	arena	assessment	attention	aware
application	31:4	14:15	21:25 119:12	10:3 17:18
7:14 36:1 72:14	arenas	asset	attentive	53:22 54:17
103:10	36:22	10:15	14:22	81:19 82:15
applied	aren't	assets	attentively	101:11 111:2,22
75:22 76:5	21:16 85:22	42:2,8 89:10	96:14	awkward
	21.10 03.22			
	I	I	1	1

56:4,14 57:23	ballots	beautiful	bit	39:18 114:5
58:3	59:20	19:5	11:17 28:4 40:6	brings
awkwardness	balls	began	42:6 78:15	43:22
57:25	37:6	83:22 95:25	109:17	broad
aye	Baltimore	98:23 105:7,20	bless	61:11 69:21
117:20 118:10	20:6	106:5	101:24	Brooks
ayes	Bank	begin	blessed	30:4
117:21 118:11	52:25	8:1 83:16	23:8	Broom
	bankruptcy	105:22	Blonde	96:4
В	23:1 87:21,22	begun	58:13	brothers
	92:4	78:19	board	90:22
back	bar	behalf	39:13,17	brought
6:5,10,12,18	11:2 23:11,21	17:17,19 54:16,	body	30:8 50:8 53:3,
24:2,5,9 25:10	24:9,10 50:11	18 82:13,16	60:3 66:21	13
27:18 29:7 34:7,	68:4 95:8	111:21,23	Boltman	brunt
19 38:14 39:5,	105:19	bench	30:8	49:13
17 43:12 66:1	bargains	10:15 11:20	boss	business
69:7 70:19	25:14	26:4,17 29:8	48:3	23:1 46:25
84:19 86:23	baseball	30:14 78:13	bouncing	63:13 118:1
98:11 101:17	19:23,24,25	80:5,7 81:2	13:15	businesses
116:25 117:8,23	20:25	103:7 105:2	bound	106:10 108:10
background	based	107:12 109:15	53:23 81:20	buy
12:6 74:11,17,	26:1 36:23	110:2,7,8,9,14,	111:3	108:17
18 78:16 95:7	37:21 40:1	23 119:16	bowed	
backlog	45:24 51:14	beneficial	38:21	C
15:3	52:21 57:17	40:19 110:13	box	
bad	73:17 77:10	benefit	7:13 10:9,10	Cain
14:11,23 47:14	101:3 104:16	46:12 83:7	35:25 43:18,19	53:6
61:3	118:25	116:6	59:7 61:4 72:13	call
balance	basically	benefits	77:24 78:1	28:21 34:7 49:8
26:25 28:2	28:13 45:16	110:8	103:9 108:25	70:19 101:17
ball	49:18 52:10	big	109:1 119:17	107:17 119:12
20:13,14	66:16,24	8:12 20:10	boy	called
ballot	basis	39:11 62:11	108:16	20:7 39:14
7:13 10:9,10	13:14 14:13	86:18	briefly	45:16,17 116:25
35:25 43:17,19	42:21	bigger	41:17 85:23	calling
47:18 49:12	battles	62:14 63:9	94:21 107:20	45:17 49:19
59:7,11 61:4	105:24	biggest	114:21	52:19 91:3
72:13 77:24	bear	45:8	briefs	calls
78:1 103:9	49:12,13	biological	95:15	11:3 13:13
108:24 109:1	beat	76:13	bring	calm
119:17	28:14		21:25 36:23	80:8

campaign	16:22 47:19,20	45:7,19 50:7,8	106:3,5,7,9,11	8:22
61:8	58:10 64:20	52:9,18 53:13	107:4 108:21	championed
Campbell	80:23 89:20	60:4,9 62:14	114:22,24	15:2
34:24,25 35:1,4,	93:9 106:6	63:4,16 64:23,	115:1,6,7,15	chance
6,9,14,20,22	card	25 68:20,24	cast	74:5
36:13,16,18	92:4	70:23 75:25	117:25	
37:14,15,24	care	88:4,17,19 89:5,	caught	change
38:1 43:16,17,	27:17 69:8	10 91:11,13	14:11	26:4 35:14 42:21
24 47:7 52:4		92:10,11,13		
53:22 54:25	<b>career</b>	93:15 95:10,13,	<b>center</b> 93:24 94:8	changed
55:5,7,20,21	9:18 22:4 23:9, 13 39:18 46:3	17,22,24,25		15:5,7 37:3 38:8
65:8,9 66:6,8		96:1,2,3,4,7	centered	chapter
68:11,12 70:11,	61:13,24 85:22	100:12 101:22	66:19	23:2
22 71:1 118:18,	careers	106:23 109:4	century	character
22 119:13,24	29:18	115:19,24	75:14	18:5 55:6 81:14
120:1,5,8	carefully	caseload	cetera	112:8
Campbell's	110:24	88:2,4,5 90:11	63:7	charged
118:25 119:22	caretakers	cases	chairman	62:8 68:21
candidacy	27:4	12:13 15:4,19	6:1,5,14,16,23	charges
7:20 17:5 35:24	Carolina	25:22 26:1,2	7:2,7,9 8:15	11:16
54:4 72:2,19	8:9 15:11 16:16	27:14,23,25	18:13,14 21:8	Charleston
82:1 111:9	17:23 19:3 23:3,	28:1 33:17,18	24:23 25:19,21	85:10
119:22	5 24:10,20 34:2	39:19 41:6,7,23,	28:9,11 31:21,	Charlie
candidate	39:22 45:8	24 42:2,19,20	22 34:19,25	58:10
18:11,12 34:23	52:24 53:25	44:10 45:8	35:2,5,7,11,18,	Chase
47:25 53:23	54:22 70:14	48:14 50:6	21 37:10,15,20	52:25
55:12,16,18	74:3 75:2,13	62:11,22 63:10,	43:6,9,12 55:18,	check
60:14 74:9	78:22 81:21	14 64:4,22	20 57:8 65:9,10	7:18 36:4 72:14,
81:19 82:24,25	82:20 83:9,23	66:13 68:14,15,	68:8,9,12 70:9,	16
101:13 104:4	84:8 85:7 86:13,	18 69:2,3,7,20	23 71:4,8,13,17,	checks
111:3 112:14,15	24 89:14,24	70:2,3 74:6,7	19,23 72:12	103:14
118:17	93:22 95:20,21,	75:25 76:3,10,	73:3,6,9,11,16	chief
candidates	23 99:2,4,15	11,12,13,14,17,	81:7 82:22 83:2	105:4
33:25 57:11,12	100:20 101:14	18,21,24 77:1,2	94:12 96:9,11,	child
70:15 74:15	102:2 111:5	79:1,5 80:11	15 98:1,17	16:6 19:6,11,22
116:15 120:11,	112:2 117:4	83:11,12,13,14	101:9,21 104:15	26:7,9 27:13,14,
13,18	Carolinians	84:15 87:20,22	112:16 114:20	23 38:24 68:19
candidate's	75:6 86:13	89:12,15,17	117:23 118:6,9,	76:13 97:15
37:22,23 55:9	carve	90:6,9,10,25	12,16,19,20,24	107:7 115:2
73:18,19	96:18	93:5,7 94:23,25	119:11,23	children
104:17,18	case	95:6,16 99:10	120:1,9,15,17, 19	12:8 16:15
can't	12:16 34:6	100:13,14		19:19,20 25:25
	42:10,23 43:1,2	105:18,23	challenge	17.17,20 23.23

26:21,25 27:16	civil	codes	11,12 119:18,21	complacent
30:17 33:13	40:3 65:21	17:25	commission	51:24
34:13 37:1,2,7	67:10 85:11		8:11 10:8 15:9	
39:3,9,10 56:21	87:15,17	<b>colleagues</b> 23:15 26:16	17:4 29:14 38:2	<b>complaint</b> 52:24
63:3 68:23 69:3,	· · ·			
6,8,14 74:25	civility 52:10	48:20 55:10	43:17 54:4 55:4	completed
75:6,11,25		110:7	60:1 70:10	7:2 9:5
115:11,17,25	CJ	collected	77:24 80:3	completely
	39:23	53:12	81:25 103:6	47:6
children's	class	collection	108:24 111:8	complex
83:16 84:16,18 92:5	32:21	62:19	116:8,15 117:25	13:5 22:24,25
	CLE	college	commission's	41:18 76:19,22
choice	67:12,16 68:1,3	20:9 30:18	17:22 54:21	107:21 108:1,5
103:19	clerk	Colorado	82:19 112:1	compliance
chose	19:7 20:24	50:16	116:14	7:15 36:2 67:16
28:24	28:19 50:16	Columbia	commitment	72:17 103:11
circuit	clerking	20:16 85:10	9:10 12:11	complicated
30:4 31:8 37:16,	19:13,15	comfort	committed	76:24 77:2
19 38:3 42:22	clerkships	14:19	45:11 78:6	100:9,12,13,14,
44:21 45:1	80:20	commence	committee	15
52:13 66:18	CLES	51:2	18:2 51:21	complimentary
73:13,15	13:11	commend	54:25 55:8,14	95:9
104:11,14	client	32:25	56:6 57:8,21	component
109:15,19,20,23	53:9 60:6 92:11	comment	81:8,12,16	87:18
110:9,18 113:1,	115:13	10:20 14:3 47:3	112:5 119:2	compromise
10,18 114:14	clients	48:19 60:13	Committee's	16:11
118:14,17	41:8 69:2 70:1	64:2,7 78:11,16	119:8	computer
119:25 120:10	77:6 83:18	95:19 118:21	communicate	87:8
circumstance	93:15 97:20		99:20	
100:4		commenters	communicating	computers
cited	close	11:15	80:9	67:4 86:19 87:8,
33:17 88:19	70:24 85:17 101:9	comments	communications	10
citizens		10:10,12,19,25	80:10	concern
18:1 24:10	closed	11:1,4,6,11	community	10:23 14:6
54:24 55:25	34:9 70:20	21:10,13 32:1,9	45:24 59:16	46:10 47:10
56:6 81:8 112:4	101:18	33:11,21 36:11	75:5 93:24	56:20 78:14
119:7	closing	43:19,20 44:11,	99:14	109:13,16
city	98:21 101:6	12 46:19 47:7,		110:24
37:16 73:12	code	16 48:2,7,19	comp	concerns
104:11,12	17:23 53:23	50:5 57:16,17	40:5	10:20 18:10
105:3,5,10	54:22 81:20	59:15 61:3,6	compared	44:11 48:23
107:14 110:2	82:20 111:4	77:25 78:2,9	32:24 63:24	55:15 65:12
	112:2	81:16 95:8	compassion	78:10 82:23
		98:21 109:1,2,	88:11	109:12 112:13

113:24	consists	continued	couple	15,19,20,22,23
concession	67:9	32:15 85:4	41:24 63:17	110:8,9,14,15,
16:11	constitutional	101:25	79:14 83:4 98:8	16,18,19,25
conclude	18:7 40:16 45:4	continues	115:20	111:5 113:1,2,
33:23 70:11	55:1 81:10	105:13	court	10,18,19,22
98:19	112:10	contract	8:18,19 9:19,22	114:14 118:16
concluded	constraints	70:5 95:1	11:20,23 13:10,	119:13,19,20,24
121:1	16:20 115:15	contracts	21 15:5,23 16:4	120:10
concludes	consumer	100:16,17	19:7 20:25	court-appointed
120:24	89:17	control	23:10,14,17	95:2
conduct	contact	47:19,20,21	25:5 26:17,18	courteous
53:24 81:20	17:16 54:15	56:11	30:3,4 31:6,8,9	14:21 52:7
111:4	82:12 111:20	conversation	32:16 36:19,24	courtesy
conducted	contacted	56:13	37:4,9 38:3,7,10	44:8
118:1	17:4 22:21 54:3	convictions	39:20,23 40:3,4,	courtroom
conductor	81:25 111:8	44:6	10,13,22,25	9:13 10:12 26:2,
91:2	contacting	convoluted	41:18,20 42:22,	9,11 36:25
confident	17:8 54:7 82:4	95:25	24 43:4 44:15,	39:19 46:9
76:6 108:22	111:12		20,24 45:1,13,	52:11 62:2
	contained	<b>copy</b> 53:3,20	22,23 52:5,24	78:23 80:12,14
conflict 52:2,3	10:11 37:21		53:25 60:8	99:8
<i>,</i>	43:20 73:17	<b>correct</b>	61:17,19 62:6	courtrooms
conflicts	78:1 104:16	18:22 38:4,5	63:4 64:24	27:22
7:18 36:4 72:16	109:2	64:10 65:16,17 89:22 90:23	65:13,24 66:13	courts
103:14	contempt	101:19 112:21	68:1 69:21 71:9	80:21
Congratulations	83:13	113:3,4	73:23,24 74:7,	Court's
19:16	contested	,	10,14,21 75:3,4,	50:16
cons	12:5,8,13,15	correctly 87:13	19,22 76:3,8,18,	coworkers
110:11	16:3 31:12		19 77:5,15 78:5,	44:7
conscious	41:18 57:4 64:4	cost	13,18 79:1,5,13,	cows
14:16	76:21,24 77:1	42:12	17,23 80:2,3,6,	42:14
consensus	89:4 92:21 93:7,	counsel	19 81:21 83:18	CPAS
110:13	15 107:21	44:8	85:5 88:7,13	63:13
consent	context	counties	91:8,16,19 93:8	Crawford
37:23 73:19	46:20 47:4 61:8	79:7 84:5,11	94:14 95:10,11,	
104:18	64:4	counting	12,14,16,17,20,	6:12 73:9,10,16 81:7 82:22
consequence	continue	64:15 66:2	21,23 96:3 98:24 99:9,17,	118:16 119:23
33:19	8:18 9:20 14:24,	county	23 100:1 104:23	118:10 119:25
consideration	25 24:7 30:20	39:13 78:18	105:16,20,23	
59:23	34:7 43:15	79:11 80:22	105:10,20,25	<b>crazy</b> 107:15
consistently	83:18 98:12	81:1,2 93:22	100.13,20	
24:5	113:12 114:12	104:13	107.14,21,25	created
	113.12 117.12		100.1 107.0,17,	84:22

creates	76:12,13 77:2	dealing	deemed	department
14:18	83:12,19 88:17	37:1,7 40:17	34:3 70:14	26:6,19 86:6
credence	92:2 93:16	41:6,10,14,23	default	89:22 90:14
119:7	95:24,25 96:1,2	42:7,8,9,10,16,	120:3	93:13,23 106:2,
credentials	97:6,14 100:13	18 44:18,25	defendant	4
98:10	105:24 115:3	45:2,5 51:14	52:23 53:8	departments
credit	cut	62:7 63:18	defendants	84:22,23,24
7:19 92:4	29:3	66:22 68:22	27:4 44:8 95:5	depends
crime		69:1,10,11,25	defending	92:10
45:11 77:10	D	91:6 100:7,9	114:23	deputy
criminal		108:1	defense	48:10
41:3 44:13	D.C.	dealings	44:8 92:4	describe
65:21 67:10	19:6,21	46:11	defining	41:17 55:25
95:4 106:13,25	daily	deals	62:11	107:20
110:19	90:6 91:13	40:10	definition	desertion
crises	Data	dealt	58:2	97:10
100:8	7:3 8:4 36:13	39:2 69:13 70:4	degree	deserving
criteria	71:14 72:5,8	110:1	85:24	34:4 116:19
7:12 18:3,7	102:18 103:22	death	delegate	desire
33:25 35:24	date	39:21	90:22	114:15
45:20 55:1,6,8	17:13 54:12	debt	delegation	details
71:25 81:10,13	82:9 111:17	42:7 63:3	39:14 110:6	85:22
101:12 103:8	daughter	decide	deliberation	determinative
112:6,10 116:14	19:12 38:24	16:12	34:5	21:16
119:4,9	51:11	decided	deliberations	determine
criticism	Davidson	45:21,25 98:14	116:20	90:9 100:18
14:1,2 48:18	20:8	109:18	delighted	108:8
criticized	day	decision	11:3 15:14	develop
21:19	12:4 14:11,16	52:21 62:9	delivery	12:12 75:1
critique	19:2 27:24 48:6	109:18 110:24,	84:6	83:22 91:14
21:18	113:22	25	demeaning	developing
cropped	day-	decisions	47:9 52:19	84:21
59:2	42:20	6:8 34:21 43:15		devotion
cumulative	days	74:24 78:6	<b>demeanor</b> 52:5 80:2 119:1	88:11
9:9	29:4 79:18	91:22 99:11		diagnosed
current	deal	dedicate	<b>denied</b>	38:25
64:14 68:3	21:15 22:23	27:12,21	58:21,22 61:7	dialogue
90:11	42:3,20 62:22	dedicated	<b>Denver</b>	56:11
curve	63:15 64:1	26:19 43:21	50:16	didn't
37:5	66:23 67:1,5	dedication	deny	29:1 41:2 49:4,
custody	86:18 87:12	12:10,23	47:6,11 57:13	17,18 51:18,25
62:23 63:3 65:5	91:15 97:19			

56:10,22,25	dissenting	doesn't	drive	eat
58:24 59:6	118:13	42:21,24 114:5	20:16 117:8,14	117:12
67:21 87:7,9	distinction	115:20	drove	echo
difference	90:14	dollar	107:15	24:25 96:13
44:10	distinguished	42:23 97:20	drug	economic
difficult	22:4 23:9,13	dollars	68:20	7:18 36:4 72:16
12:1 27:5	28:13	106:9	DSS	103:14
difficulty	distribution	domestic	41:4,5,11,14	education
13:25 55:11	88:20 89:5,10	53:5 64:14	69:1,6,21 70:2,	75:2,8 83:22
dig	92:9,19 97:17,	65:15,20 66:13,	3,5 76:10 95:6	84:23 85:3
108:14	22 108:2,21	20 67:10 85:14	96:1 100:14	86:11 87:19
dignity	divide	87:14,16,18	due	88:3 92:3
80:16	63:1,2,20 108:8	92:23 107:10	40:15 41:9 45:3	educational
direct	divided	don't	dues	84:1
89:13	42:25 65:21	11:5 13:1,8,9	28:22	Edwards
Disability	dividing	14:2,8 20:6	DUI	52:16
39:13	42:4	23:24 26:14,17	66:9,11 67:8	effective
disagree	divisions	29:11 30:14,19,	DUIS	38:10 44:2
14:14 51:9	77:17	22 31:12 34:5	67:1	74:19 104:25
56:15 109:24	divorce	35:18 41:4,22	duly	efficient
disappointed	61:21 76:14	46:23 47:3,5	6:25 35:9 71:6	10:18
18:19	83:20 92:2,6,7,	49:9 59:14,19	102:15	efficiently
disciplinary	13,15,18,23	60:1,22,23	duration	33:16
60:5 76:2 84:2	97:14,21 105:19	62:24 66:22,25	61:12,13	Eileen
discipline	106:5 107:25	67:5,19,20 70:2,	duty	20:22
92:2	divorces	7 79:24 88:15 90:2 91:23	44:5 85:1	elaborate
discount	97:8	90:2 91:25		94:21
33:8,10	DJJ	101:21 106:23	E	elected
discrimination	76:3,11 106:11,	107:4,5 110:23		22:13 24:12
51:6	21,22	113:16,20	earlier	38:22 39:15
discuss	docket	117:15	15:21 29:1	40:19 113:7,13
41:19 107:22	15:4 27:12	door	45:10 61:7	election
discussing	dockets	107:5	69:18 88:14	36:6 64:8,11,12
22:3	11:24	double	early	103:16
display	document	21:3	29:4 34:11	email
80:6	77:13	drafted	51:25 105:24	49:7
displayed	documented	20:11,12	easier	emergency
55:12 80:12	26:7	drawn	12:18	115:3
disposition	documents	16:12	easily	emotionally
53:2	7:2 35:11 71:13		46:13 106:24	39:1
55.4	102:20	<b>drew</b> 53:17	107:19	emotions
		55.17		

80:7	21 108:2,21	evidence	34:17,18,20	74:12 75:16
employment	Essentials	16:20 40:14	43:7,10,11,13	119:18
75:5	67:18	43:3 45:3 62:4	117:19,22,24	experiences
encounter	established	EXAMINATIO	exemplary	95:16
74:23	29:9	Ν	29:5	experts
encourage	estate	8:16 18:14 21:7	exhibit	42:19 45:19
23:12	40:6 42:16	24:24 25:20	8:2,5 36:12,15	108:10
end	106:6	28:10 31:22	72:3,6,9 103:4,	explain
9:18 12:16	ethic	37:14 55:20	20,23	9:4 15:6 53:1
20:13 73:2,6	11:19 12:11,24	65:8 68:11	exist	66:22 99:11,17
98:4	36:2 51:22 78:8	73:10 83:2	15:4 74:21	100:2,5
endeavors	109:3	94:11 96:10	expand	exposed
79:21 113:6	ethical	98:2 104:9	114:21	93:5
ends	18:3 55:2 81:13	112:18 114:19	expect	exposure
20:20	112:6	excel	33:25 34:5	46:4
enforce	ethics	30:15	70:15 72:23	express
77:16	7:15 34:2 52:1	excelled	101:21	8:13 44:11
enforcement	70:14 72:18	28:23	expects	expressed
48:13 76:14	101:14 103:11	excellent	116:15	10:20 78:10
enjoy	116:16	10:6 43:24,25	experience	109:12
8:22 23:13 31:2	evaluation	110:16	18:5 36:24,25	extensive
105:6 116:5	18:3 35:23	excepted	37:1 38:9 39:8,	106:24 107:24
117:10	112:6	23:4	19,24 40:1 41:6,	108:20 115:25
enjoyed	evaluations	exception	14,16,17,19	extraordinary
105:9	63:14	109:6	42:9,15,18	109:7
enjoys	evaluative	exceptional	43:23 44:1,13,	extremely
119:16	7:12 18:7 33:25	31:16	14 46:4,7,8,9	10:15 14:15
ensue	55:1,6,7 81:9,13	exchange	55:4 61:10 62:3,	18:18 95:9
105:24	103:8 112:10	59:3 96:15	11 65:13 69:10,	115:12
entire	116:14 119:4,9	excited	20,24 74:8 76:4,	
61:24 113:8	even-	18:25 19:1	16 77:4 78:12,	F
114:8,9	handedness	105:2 106:15	21,22 81:15	
entities	88:10	exclamation	83:5 85:24	Facebook
84:9	evening	18:25	86:21 94:22	49:8
entitled	104:2 117:6	exclusive	95:6 98:11 100:7 101:1,4	facets
52:25	eventually	29:21	104:24 107:20,	40:11
envision	38:21 69:16	exclusively	22 108:23	facing
75:12	everybody's	22:8	110:10 112:8	9:13 76:3 84:1
equitable	25:6	excuse	114:22,23	99:16
88:20 89:4,9	everyday	6:14	119:13,20	fact
92:8,18 97:16,	22:24	executive	experienced	25:8,9 36:25
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		6:3,4,7,11,18,21	- Apericieu	38:24 39:12

44:25 45:19	23:6,9,10,14,17	fault	female	financially
46:7 49:17	25:5 26:17,18	13:1 97:9	47:23 48:3,20	85:16
50:11 51:10,20	30:3 31:6,7 35:6	fault-based	51:16	find
53:14,16 60:8	36:19 37:4,9	97:12	field	20:19 25:3 31:2,
66:24 74:10	38:6,10 40:10,	favor	21:1	3 38:12 69:9
100:25 107:14	13,22,25 41:1,	117:20 118:10	fifteen	118:6,7 120:8,
factor	18,20 42:24	120:20	12:19	18
57:2	43:4 44:15,18,	feat	Fifty-three	finding
facts	20,23,24 45:1,	19:5	87:14	56:16 119:8,23
12:7 52:21	22 52:5 61:10,	February	fight	120:3,5
92:10 100:3	17,19 62:6 63:4	45:14	62:18 63:7	fine
fail	64:8,24 65:13,	federal	106:8,10 108:7	24:19 35:17
14:22	24 66:13 67:8,	22:25 39:23	fighting	77:23
fair	12,18 68:1 69:1,	53:7	62:25 63:18	finish
27:24 44:2,3	21 73:23,24	fee	figuring	114:16 115:14
46:11 52:21	74:7,9,14,21	100:22	85:6	finished
78:5 91:1 110:4	75:3,4,9,18,22	feedback	file	83:8 86:22
fairly	76:3,8,18,19	28:17 84:13	12:5	Fireflies
9:17	77:5,15 78:5,12,	feel	filed	20:16
fairness	17,25 79:1,5,13	8:25 9:5,9 14:23	7:20 10:1 36:5	firm
9:11 58:20	80:2,3,6,19,21	30:16 32:2	52:24 60:17	40:8 44:22
60:13	83:11,18 85:4	36:23 49:4	72:18 77:16	66:24 67:3
fall	88:3,7,13 89:16	58:21 74:18	79:1 103:15	79:21 84:9,21
76:6 98:25	91:16,18 93:7	80:1 81:3 91:10	fill	85:8 106:1
familiar	94:14 95:4,10,	98:22 104:23	27:22	fit
7:11 17:7 35:22	12,21,23 98:24 99:9,16,22	106:14 108:21	filled	9:1
54:6 71:25 82:3	100:1 104:23	110:4	102:20	fitness
111:11 116:2	105:16,20,23	feeling		18:4 55:2 81:13
familiarity	105.10,20,23	59:1	filling	112:7
88:13	107:21,23 108:1	feels	102:6 112:20	flew
familiarized	107.21,23 108.1	13:25 14:9	final	32:24
17:24	22 110:8,14,15,	fees	34:9 70:20 93:19	flip
families	16,19,25 113:2,	53:7,12,16,19		9:14
25:24 26:25	19 118:16	100:18	finally	floor
27:18 74:21,23,	119:13,18,20,24	fellow	15:15 32:25	36:8 72:21
25 75:13 76:1,	120:10	13:6,11,13 30:2,	54:20 93:13	
23,25 86:12,13	farm	7 107:12	financial	<b>fo</b>
family	42:14	fellowship	30:20 35:15,16	70:10
8:18,19 9:19,22	faster	13:8	41:20 42:8	focus
11:20,23 13:3,9,	16:25		62:10 63:6,10	35:24 38:8
12,21 15:4,23	father	felt	77:5 92:19	44:22 46:2
16:6 19:18 22:8	28:18 51:11	29:6 57:25	97:21 107:23	64:13
10.017.10 22.0	20.10 31.11			

Г

focused	friend	45:23 47:18	43:22 48:12,13	Greenwood
28:23 61:23	107:7	54:3,8,16 82:5,	50:9,11,15	84:11
103:8	friends	13 83:10 86:14	52:13 58:25	grievance
folks	57:12	110:18 111:13,	59:23 60:7 61:3	51:2
62:20 89:20	front	21	67:4 74:9 78:5	ground
120:23	80:21 90:12	genuineness	87:12 88:9	97:12
follow	110:3	109:13,25	91:10 96:11,12	grounds
43:2 70:16	full	Gerald	102:12,13 104:5	97:9
116:15	45:16	52:14,15	117:6,16	group
forever	full-time	Gibson	grading	22:14 47:23
93:21 113:19	89:21 105:2,16	20:22	101:6	74:5
forgive	106:15 110:21	give	graduates	grow
87:24	114:16	27:8 28:16	75:14	38:11
form	funding	29:18 31:17	Graham	grown
51:3	77:9 84:17 85:6	38:9 45:6 50:14	73:20 75:15	19:17
formal	furnish	52:20 56:12	77:21,22	guardian
51:2 116:23	42:4	59:22 83:6,7	grants	39:10 44:24
forming	furnishings	101:7	85:13,14	61:19 64:3,22
16:3	42:5	giving	Grasps	67:17 69:2
forward	furniture	24:9 91:15	10:17	105:22
60:15	42:5	glad	grateful	guess
foster	12.5	7:23 11:13	105:12	25:9 29:3 67:11
27:16 69:8		56:20 98:13	gratitude	74:10 77:7 84:3
fought	G	glowingly	8:13	88:20,22 89:11
10 <b>1</b> 108:4,9,12,15	gain	21:14	great	90:15 93:8 99:6
115:11	46:24 47:2	goal	16:15 19:4,5,17	100:22
found	game	9:6,17,24 26:24	20:11 31:11	guidance
21:19 25:2,3	18:20,24	98:15 105:1	74:1 117:17	91:15,18
28:22 53:15,24	gamut	113:20	greatest	guidelines
54:25 55:5,7	92:6	goals	23:19	17:22 54:21
81:8,12,20 87:5	gap	9:16	greatly	77:11 82:19
111:4	85:18	God	98:6	112:1
Fourteen	garnet	34:12,15 38:18	Greenville	guiding
45:11	87:3	101:24	11:25 12:2	91:6
Fourteenth	gave	Goldsmith	15:14 20:16	guys
52:13	50:14 91:18	30:4	26:11,13,18	29:24
Franklin	119:5	good	27:1,11,22 28:2,	
37:13,14,20	gender	9:1 13:23 15:17,	18 31:23 73:14	H
43:6 54:24	51:16	21,22 17:1 19:9	78:18 79:6,8,11	<u>п</u>
55:24	general	20:18 27:9 28:3	81:1,2 84:12	habit
frankly	12:6 17:9,17	31:10 33:6	85:9 90:18	12:12
31:3	40:3,8 44:22	35:18 36:24	93:21 104:12,13	
51.5	10.3,0 11.22			

half	14:20 88:16,19	63:17 72:23	Hodges	housekeeping
20:9 26:10	115:11	91:21 93:19	32:11 58:10	17:2 53:21
117:14	hardest	103:1	Holland	81:17 111:2
hall	11:24 108:7	hearings	53:1	housing
94:1	hardwoods	76:16 107:13	home	83:14 89:17
hamper	42:12 63:24	heated	27:20 53:10	hundred
115:20	hasn't	61:8	69:15 115:18	79:4
hand	108:18	heavily	Hon	hurt
6:24 7:9 11:13	hat	57:4 96:6	8:3,6 103:21,24	11:11
35:8,21 71:5,23	88:25	heavy	honest	husband
102:14 103:3	hate	34:4	11:5 56:3 67:3	50:17 53:8
119:25 120:6	80:23	heavyweight	68:6 110:12	
handle	hats	116:19	honestly	I
40:8,22 43:7	36:20	helping	56:14	
63:9 106:19	haven't	39:25 91:14	honesty	I-26
107:6	23:25 106:7	helps	88:10	117:10
handled	Hayes	40:18 107:1	honor	idea
24:12 61:21	6:19 34:15	hesitation	8:8 104:2	87:4
67:5 68:15,16,	120:23	110:17	Honorable	identification
17 106:4,6,7,9,	head	hey	6:22,25 38:22	8:2,5 36:12,15
22 108:3,20	58:11 85:3 93:3,	29:7	53:6 102:5,15	72:3,6,9 103:20,
114:25 115:1,5,	6	he's	118:7 120:14	23
19,22	heal	19:24 107:17	Hoover	identify
handles	16:2	higher	19:2	97:2
67:7	health	15:19	hope	illegal
handling	18:8 42:19	highlight	9:2,12 12:25	68:23
41:17 107:21	45:19 55:3	105:6	14:10,11 15:25	imagine
108:23	81:11 112:11	highly	69:22 105:13	108:4
hands	hear	14:4 31:13	117:6	immediately
120:21	26:14,15 32:4	hindsight	hoping	47:13
hanging	71:11 76:18	38:15	24:6	impact
19:6 32:22	81:4	hire	horror	74:23
happen	heard	89:21 106:1	26:15	impacted
27:19 75:8	10:24 14:8 19:2	hired	hour	86:12
happened	21:12 22:9	50:15 108:10	56:3 117:13	impartial
38:14 53:4 57:3	27:25 51:7	history	hourly	46:11
happy	56:15 69:19	83:6	91:13	implements
9:14 86:23	76:7 112:25	Hitchcock	hours	42:15
98:11 104:3	117:21 118:11	98:1,2 118:20,	12:19,20	important
107:8 115:10,13	hearing	25 120:16,17	household	14:15 21:22
hard	45:15 53:10	20 120.10,17	74:24	24:16 27:15
9:8 10:18,21	56:2,17,23			33:18

	1	1	1	1
impressed	49:1 50:4,5,13,	intention	7:4,22	81:17 83:20
27:5 98:10	23 56:9 59:5,13	113:14 115:16	invest	84:2 92:19
impropriety	76:11 77:14,20	inter-winds	114:2,4,6	93:14 97:2,6,14,
34:3 70:18	78:25 83:12,13	62:5	investigated	15,16,17 99:16,
101:16 116:18	84:14 85:4,15	interest	103:6	25 111:2
improve	87:22 88:6 91:6	7:19 36:4 49:2	investigation	items
25:24	94:25 99:22	72:16 74:2	18:10 55:16	42:17
improving	100:2,8	94:13 103:14	72:1 82:23	It'll
15:3	Individual's	117:2	112:13	117:14
in/day-out	100:13	interested	investment	it's
42:21	information	14:21 24:3 86:8	114:6	8:20 9:8,23
inappropriate	23:7	interesting	invoked	11:13,21,25
48:19	inheritances	8:23 26:5 31:2	63:7	12:17,21,22
include	108:16	interests	involve	13:1 15:7 16:10
41:2 44:7 72:12	initially	46:13	62:22 68:18	19:9 22:18
included	75:23 76:5	interfering	92:2	23:19 24:13,16
37:22 73:18	injury	52:18	involved	26:25 30:22,23
104:17	66:10,12	interim	13:17 25:25	34:14 35:4
includes	input	68:5	63:8 76:2 80:14	37:18 38:17
35:25	58:21	internal	91:22 96:5,6	40:2 42:3,16,24
including	inquiry	51:2	100:21	43:1 45:1 46:20
17:7 54:6 82:3	55:24 103:7	internet	involves	47:4 58:18 60:1, 11 61:13 62:6
92:7 111:11	institutional	86:18	12:5	66:6,12 68:24
income	27:25	interplays	involving	69:12 73:14
77:11	instructions	46:6 62:5	69:21 92:18	85:1 87:2,3
incorporated	12:17	interrupt	93:16	88:16,19 92:8,
18:11 55:17	intelligent	89:18	irregular	12 93:15,18
82:24	10:16 14:4	interrupting	33:8	97:8 101:3,4
incorporation	intend	87:24	isn't	102:12 105:1,5
112:14	113:12 114:8,9,	intertwines	22:17 98:3	107:4,6,9
incorrectly	10	40:12 46:6	issue	117:16
6:17	intended	intervene	46:23 65:4 84:3	I'd
indications	86:25	17:19 54:18	96:17	9:20 19:2 43:6
24:18	intense	82:15 111:23	issued	46:20 68:2
individual	22:18	intervening	34:9 70:21	101:7 118:20
44:3 47:15	intensive	57:2	issues	I'll
49:16,22 79:9,	87:11	intervention	10:17 12:6,8	11:18 12:24
24 100:11	intent	115:6,16	16:21 17:2	36:18 45:6 46:2
individually	17:3,11 54:2,10	interview	47:22 48:1 49:5	47:14 81:3
120:15	81:24 82:7	11:7 14:9 55:13	53:21 60:7 63:3,	113:16 120:11
individuals	111:7,15	introduced	4 64:1 74:22	I'm
			75:4 76:22 78:9	

<b></b>				
9:1 10:3 11:7,	77:16 79:4,20	43:22,25 44:2	112:8 117:24	kinds
11,13,16,18	80:17,18 87:13,	45:24 52:5,13,	119:4,9 120:10	24:22 76:12
13:24 14:1,9,16	21 93:6 106:4,6,	14,15 53:7,17	judiciary	97:8,22
15:14 19:9	8 107:6,24	56:19 62:8	8:9 23:11 38:16	Kinlaw's
26:13 27:5	108:2,12,20	64:24 73:23,24	junction	112:20,21
28:25 29:11	114:25 115:1,5,	74:20 75:19,23	117:11	knew
30:3,8,9,24 31:7	6,21,23	78:5 80:2,6,25	juries	18:24 48:6
32:23,24 33:11		98:24 99:9	65:25	86:10
36:18 37:4,8	J	102:8,9,10,12,	jury	knowledge
39:11,16,22		17,21,24 103:2,	43:5 65:24	12:24,25 13:12,
41:13,25 45:7	J.P.	5 104:1,8,9,10,	justice	24 30:1 49:10
49:11,23 51:11	52:25	18,22,23,25	19:14,15 44:5	78:4
60:21 61:1,6	Jessica	105:3,4 106:18	75:24 79:22	knowledgeable
64:15 65:14	102:5,15	107:14,15	85:18,19	10:14,16
66:14 67:3,14	103:21,24	108:24 109:9,11	juvenile	10.11,10
74:12 76:6,8	120:14	112:5,18,19,20,	45:6,13,22	
77:21 79:23	job	21 113:10	75:24	L
86:16 87:4,25	8:20,21,23,25	114:19,20		lab
90:10,16,24	9:1,17 11:9,25	116:5,11,21	juveniles	87:9
91:21,23 93:4	12:23 13:18	117:5,9,12,15	37:2 40:17 41:2,	lack
94:4,15 95:18	19:9 23:16,19	119:20	13 45:5 61:20	65:12 88:12
98:11,13 99:10	24:3,19 25:16	judges		lacking
102:6,9 104:3,4	26:20,22 28:3	9:22 11:22,23,	K	85:22
105:3,4,11,15	31:11,17 43:23	25 12:9,10	Kagan	lacks
106:13,14,15	51:18 88:11,21,	13:13,14 16:16	19:15	119:13
107:8 108:11,13	24 110:16	22:20 26:15		
110:4,20 113:22	jobs	27:8,9 28:5	Kent	ladies
114:10 115:10	27:5	30:22 31:7,9,15	45:21	50:13 56:18
116:1	judge	44:7 52:12	Kesnick	lady
I've	6:9,14,15,23	76:18 80:4,17,	20:3,4	50:17
9:16 10:6 11:9	7:6,8 8:8,16,17,	18,19,23,24	kids	Langley
14:7 15:8 25:4	19 9:13,25 10:8,	81:1 114:2,7	41:8 56:22	58:15,18
27:6 29:9 36:21	12 13:2 14:3,18	judge's	Kimaka	larceny
39:7,8,18,19	15:1 17:2 18:2,	33:2	71:6 72:4,7,10	42:23
40:6 41:4,15	14,15 21:7,8,23	judging	78:2 83:2	large
44:18 46:4,22	23:7,10,14 24:8,	23:17	120:13	22:20 26:22
48:22 51:7,9,13,	11,17,24,25	judicial	kind	30:25 31:15
14 52:11 57:14	25:20,21 28:10,	14:4 18:5 21:21,	11:8 23:12	41:23,25 43:1
59:17 60:4,5,7	11,15,19,25	24 37:19 44:21	29:10,15 38:17	99:21
61:14,20 63:12	30:3,4 31:22,23,	53:22,23 55:8	56:13 59:19	larger
67:13,21 68:16,	25 32:4,11,12	73:15 81:15,19,	62:13 64:1 67:6	74:5 106:1
17 69:1 70:1	33:2 36:19 37:9	20 93:23 103:5	78:10 91:2	largest
75:1 76:1,10,22	38:7,10 40:22	104:13 111:3,4	94:22	85:10

lasted	14:23 21:21	85:2,8,11,12,16	limit	litigation
45:15	28:14,18 33:1	89:14,22,25	80:23 113:21,23	13:5 16:4 92:22
	43:24 74:2	90:8 91:7 96:19	limitations	108:1,6
late 51:25	86:10 109:8	99:2,4,12,15,18	17:8 54:7 82:4	litigator
	119:15	100:20 101:5	111:12	36:21 39:18
law	lawyers	100.20 101.5		96:20 97:6
10:14,16,22	10:13 11:12	legislator	<b>limited</b>	119:15
12:24 13:1,4,10,	13:7,11 22:11,	17:12 54:11	16:19,20 26:11	
12,16,24 14:1	15 23:5 29:17	82:8 111:16	61:25 69:24	live
19:7 20:24 22:5,	31:10,14,16		106:12,22	30:20,21 37:18
8 23:6,9,14	90:23	legislature	Lindi	73:13,14 104:12
28:19 29:6 31:4		23:21 29:14	7:10 35:21	lives
32:18 36:22	lawyer's 33:1	lend	67:23 71:24	16:9,14 48:21
40:20 41:1		119:7	103:3	living
44:13,18,23	lazy	length	lines	53:11
46:3 48:12	10:21 12:21	12:7	16:12	local
61:11,24 62:9	lead	Letitia	list	39:14 77:19
64:8 65:16,20	32:15	80:25	39:23 67:22	lofty
66:20 67:8,12,	leads	letter	87:12 92:6	9:23
18 69:1 74:13	15:18	17:3,11 34:1	listed	long
75:2,8,16,20	league	54:2,10 70:13,	90:1 92:1	9:2,19 22:5
76:7 78:7 79:2,	19:25	16 81:24 82:7	listen	23:13 31:11
10,25 80:20	learn	101:14 111:7,15	78:7	61:11 62:18
83:6,8,11,16,22	105:22 108:15	116:16	listening	64:18 90:20
84:9,16,18 85:8	learned	let's	96:14	longer
86:9,11,23,24	37:6 105:8	33:9 57:21	Litem	84:17
87:20,21 95:4 97:1 100:2	leave	105:18 120:4	64:3,22 67:17	longtime
	114:1	level	105:22	28:18
105:13 106:1,16 109:9 110:22	leaving	14:18 20:17	literally	looked
	16:9 51:25	99:19 108:23	23:4 27:21	52:14 110:18
laws	led	levels	literature	lost
7:16 34:2 36:2	46:15	79:23	86:6	114:6
52:10 62:6	left	licensed	litigant	lot
70:14 72:18	50:12 53:11	39:22	9:12	8:21 12:9 18:25
101:14 103:11	58:25 64:21	life		23:25 25:18
116:16		37:5	litigants	27:7 30:15 31:3
lawsuit	legacy 9:21 33:14,15	lifestyle	15:24 16:8,12,	33:12 37:1 39:9,
53:2	,	30:21	22 52:8 80:13	19 40:2,8,12
lawsuits	legal		litigate	41:6,13,23 42:9,
10:1	36:19 38:7	lightly 33:16	90:2,4,5	15,18 44:24
Lawton	59:16 74:3,11		litigated	45:6 46:1,4,8
38:22	75:2 76:8 77:12,	likewise	92:18 97:6,13,	47:2,12,16
lawyer	19 78:22 83:9,	119:19	14,15,16	51:19,22,23
	23 84:6,7,24			51.17,44,45

52:12 56:6	majority	marked	102:14 103:4	mentally
57:17 61:19,20	21:13 61:17,18	8:2,5 36:12,15		39:1
62:1,24 63:2	64:13 65:11,15	72:3,6,9 103:20,	Mcintosh	
69:7 75:4 76:6,	88:9 89:15 91:5	23	38:22	mention
21 77:4,7 80:18,			Mciver	56:22
19,20,22 81:3	make	marks	6:2 43:9	mentioned
87:8 93:5 94:25	6:6 7:24 29:10	88:9	means	21:13 50:9
	30:16 32:7	marriage	11:21 25:18	62:10 63:6
99:14 100:1,5,7, 25 115:25	36:11 43:24	12:7 108:17	27:18	69:18 88:18
116:10	52:20 71:24	married	meant	106:21 107:24
	72:21 74:24	16:7	95:19	mentions
lots	78:4,6 91:25	Marsh	media	66:14 95:10
74:22	98:21 99:11	6:22,25 8:3,6	49:9	merged
love	102:23 103:4,17	118:7	mediate	84:9
8:20 105:5	109:8,18 114:7	Marshals	94:3	merger
110:16,22,23	118:21	50:20	mediation	84:17,20
low	making	mascot	15:3,8,10,11,16,	Merit
20:14 45:5	57:14 62:9 80:9	87:1	17,18,20 17:1	103:5 117:25
	103:1	master	93:14,17,22,24	met
M	male	86:3	94:5,7,8	10:25 11:2
	51:15	mastery	mediator	45:20
made	malfunction	13:9	94:2,3	meth
6:8,17 7:7,10	117:10	master's	medical	68:24
15:10 20:13	malpractice	85:25 87:5	106:10 108:6	methamphetami
34:21 35:13,14,	60:6	materials	meeting	nes
19 43:15 46:20	manage	7:14 36:1 72:14	55:25 91:14	68:25
47:4 48:8,19,25	79:20	103:10	meets	method
57:5,6 60:19	management	matrimonial	37:24 73:20	15:3
71:17,20 78:16	99:5	13:7 22:10,15	104:19	middle
110:25 115:12	managing	matter	member	19:22 20:2
magistrate's	79:5 90:16,19	43:1,5,8 50:12	22:10 70:10	mike
40:4	99:1,3 100:15,	51:10		71:9
main	24 101:2		members	million
36:21 61:16	mandatory	<b>matters</b> 22:25 41:18	8:13 16:6 17:4,	
63:4 93:6	15:10,14,16		8,17 23:2 24:9	42:1 97:20
maintain	manner	44:15 58:5 76:2, 19 77:18 91:16	29:21 54:3,7,16 55:14 81:25	millions
34:1 80:16 88:5	48:8 119:6	100:9,23 107:21	82:4,13 107:12	106:9
89:23 93:1	manufacturing	, í	· ·	mind
101:13	68:22	mature	110:6 111:8,12, 21 118:15 120:7	68:7 117:15
maintenance	marital	38:11		mindedness
90:3,5 92:8,13	53:10	Mauldin	mental	9:11
major	mark	105:5,10 110:2	18:8 42:19	mindful
21:3	33:13 35:22	ma'am	45:19 55:4	14:24
	33.13 33:22		81:11 112:11	

minor	120:15	needed	non-verbal	obvious
19:24	motivated	83:24	80:9	15:24 23:22
minute	9:24	negative	nonetheless	occasional
66:5	move	11:4,7,11,15	101:22	59:23
minutes	27:13 114:13	21:12 25:7,9	note	occur
24:1 56:2,19,24	118:7 120:17	31:25 32:3,9	18:1 32:17	15:21
miserable	moved	33:11 59:15,24	37:20 54:24	Oconee
25:11	15:15	60:13	55:14 73:16	79:9 84:12
misread	movement	neglect	81:7 82:22	odd
87:25	85:19	25:22,25 41:9	104:15 112:4	25:4
missed	moves	68:14,15,19	116:22	offer
28:14	117:19	69:25 94:19,23	noticed	10:22 14:5
mix	moving	95:5 114:22,24	29:12 67:11	46:18 47:10
87:12 88:1	27:14	115:1,19	November	81:16 98:7
modern	multi-	negotiation	10:1 15:2 61:15	109:16
19:2	97:19	63:6	number	offered
moment	municipal	newspaper	8:2,5 11:1 12:8	98:9
94:18	39:20	7:16 36:3 72:15	22:20 36:12,15	offering
money	Murphy	103:12	55:9 65:22 72:3,	24:8 96:14
16:1 23:24	34:16 65:7,8	Newton	6,9 103:20,23	office
30:16 41:24		66:6,8	numbers	12:4 44:21 47:1,
62:24	N	nice	15:18 41:3	24 48:6,24 49:3,
monitoring		59:18	numerous	6,25 50:12,16
84:13	named	Nichols-	106:4 108:9	51:1,19,23,24
month	52:23 95:18	73:19	114:25 115:7,	57:4,18,19 64:5,
79:14,15	names	Nichols-graham	22,25	17,18 79:6,8,21
months	33:5 81:3	71:4,6,12,16,18,		84:10 90:6,18,
38:23	108:19	22 72:4,7,10,11	0	23 91:12 93:25
Monét	naming	73:1,5,8,10,11,	abject	99:24
30:7	81:2	22 77:21 80:1	<b>object</b> 35:18	officers
moot	nation	81:9,12 83:2,3		44:7
95:15	8:11	94:11,12 96:10 98:2,3,18,23	<b>objection</b> 71:19,22 102:25	offices
Morgan	national	101:8,20,24	observation	85:9,10 118:2
52:25	22:22 52:25	101:3,20,24	64:10	older
morning	nature	night		38:11 39:7
91:19 120:25	53:2 77:3	18:19,23 19:4	observed	86:16
mortgage	nay	nominated	26:1 110:1	oldest
30:18	33:7	22:16 48:9	observing 107:13	19:12,21
motion	necessarily	118:8 120:18		omitted
6:1,17 34:15	77:8 93:9	non-	obtain	37:11
43:9 118:3,19	100:11	74:10	44:5	one-year
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		/4.10		86:15,21 87:7

Г

open	opposition	owed	80:10 82:12	67:24,25 68:7
14:1 91:12	7:20 36:5 72:19	53:16,19	111:20	73:18 83:5
99:19 109:5	103:15	,	partner	87:12 92:1
110:4 116:23	order	P	32:11 66:23	104:17
open-	12:18,19 29:9		105:14	peers
9:10	40:21 53:15,17	p.m.	partners	21:18 22:16,19
opened	60:13 64:23	117:11 121:2	32:12	penalty
16:2 83:15	83:25 96:25	pages	party	39:21
opening	106:17 120:12	8:3,6 36:13,16	76:12 96:2	pending
7:24 60:16	orders	72:4,7 103:21,	pass	17:13 54:12
72:22 103:17	12:13 76:14	24	22:16	82:9 111:17
104:5	88:7 92:22	paid		people
operate	ordinarily	100:20	passing 105:19	11:10,23 14:13
99:18	77:10	pans		16:4,21 21:18
operating	organized	42:4 63:1,11	<b>passion</b>	23:12 25:7 29:4
84:6	78:6	parent	37:7 39:9 109:4	33:5,9 37:8
	Orioles	16:5 76:13	113:1	47:13,16,19,20
operation 20:25	20:7	parental	past	49:20 51:19,23
		41:10 61:22	15:9 69:14	52:17,19 56:4,7
opinion	orphanages 75:12	69:12 76:23	75:22 115:9	57:15,18 59:15,
15:5 47:25 48:7		77:1 92:24 93:1,	pastureland	17 62:2,23
50:21	outcome	2 96:3	42:13	73:25 74:5
opinions	17:13 46:12		pastureland's	76:10,21 77:7
44:10	54:12 82:9	parents 16:14 26:23	63:24	78:7 85:11 91:7,
opinion's	111:17	27:4 68:21	path	25 99:12,14,20
15:7 52:6	outfielder	69:16	46:15	109:25 113:17,
opportunities	20:5		patience	18
75:6 99:9	outliers	<b>part</b>	101:25	peoples
opportunity	33:8	7:10 8:9,12	patient	108:18
7:24 16:8 24:6	outset	26:22 35:19	14:20	
52:8 58:22	33:14 98:20	57:25 58:1	pattern	<b>percent</b> 41:1 65:19,20,
60:22 73:25	115:4 119:14	71:20,24 85:19 95:17 103:1	21:17	23 87:14,15
74:1,4 76:20	outstanding	95:17 103:1	pay	99:6,7
80:16,18 93:3	25:8,16 44:1		25:11,13,15	, í
101:1 109:21	78:8	part-	29:3 30:25	percentage 65:23
116:12,13	overlap	88:25	paying	
opposed	46:1	participate	28:22	perfect
16:13 30:13	overplay	59:8 83:25	payments	8:25 32:10
46:12	45:5 46:1	93:14	30:18	performance
opposite	oversee	parties	<b>PDO</b>	19:4
11:15	84:4 100:17	10:13 16:18	35:12 37:22	period
opposites	overwhelming	17:16 44:6	41:3 65:18,22	27:17
57:19	24:14	47:21 54:15	41.5 05.10,22	
	1	1	1	1

person	play	politics	posted	44:19 61:14
11:8 24:4 57:9	20:4 31:15 40:9	47:17	49:11	78:3,17,20 79:2,
58:6 60:14	played	poll	potential	11,25 80:20
109:6 116:6	20:14,15	118:14	109:13	practitioner
personal	player	poor	potentially	105:17 110:15
7:3 8:4 21:4	19:23,24	14:4	34:4 116:18	practitioners
32:17 36:13	playing	рор	pots	106:2
48:21 66:10,11	19:24	66:10	42:4 62:25	praising
71:14 72:4,8	plays	popped	63:10	64:2
87:9 102:18	8:12	57:1	power	prayers
103:22	pleasant	pops	46:14	38:18
personally	117:14	66:9	practice	premium
41:22 94:5	pleasantness	portion	10:5 23:13 25:3,	30:25
person's	88:11	33:23 70:12	13 26:3 28:22	preparation
78:16	pleasure	98:19	32:14 36:20	12:22 40:21,23
perspective	34:14	position	38:1 40:8,25	75:18 106:17,19
26:8 31:18	pledge	20:1,4 28:6	41:1,21,22	prepare
38:13	17:12 54:11	37:25 38:23	43:22 44:22	75:19
phone	82:8 111:16	39:12,16 40:19	60:10 61:15,18	prepared
11:3	pledging	46:16 48:4,10,	62:16 64:9,12,	11:17 35:11
physical	17:22 54:21	16 73:21 83:15,	14,16 65:15,19,	38:15 71:13
18:8 55:3 81:11	82:19 112:1	17 84:18,19	23 66:19,25	prepped
112:11	point	89:1,21 98:7	67:9,10 73:22	95:15
pick	21:17 39:6 44:9	104:20 105:11,	75:2 79:10 83:7,	present
95:3	45:7 47:12	15 106:14	22 85:7 87:13,	7:21 36:7 88:2,
picked	49:21 78:20	109:22,24 113:7	18 88:1 89:23,	21,24 103:16
14:23	83:17 84:5,21	117:3	24 92:1 93:25	120:7,22
Pickens	86:9 91:4 96:13	positions	97:5 104:21	presentation
26:12 79:9	105:25 106:13	110:5	105:6,11,14,20,	118:25 119:5,14
84:12	pointed	position's	25 106:5,12,20,	presented
pilot	29:21	46:24	25 107:10	52:22 119:2,6
93:22	points	positive	110:18,19,20,22	presently
Pincus	11:18 18:25	10:11,25 11:1,4,	practiced	88:1 89:3
30:7	polar	6 21:14 26:14	13:2,3 22:5,21	preside
places	11:15 57:19	43:20 59:14	23:6 64:7 75:17,	115:21
80:22	police	68:23 78:1	21 87:21	presided
plaintiff	44:7	101:3 109:2	practices	26:2
65:2	policy	possess	30:15 106:11	pretty
plan	86:2,4,12	21:23 78:12	108:6	12:2 30:25 74:9
113:8	political	possessing	practicing	105:21 106:24
plans	48:8 86:5	68:22	21:21 22:5	105.21 106.24
69:5			28:20 31:4	107.17 100.20,
57.0				
	1	1	1	1

22 115:25	102:8	pros	72:4,7,10	119:19
prevent	problems	110:11	103:21,24	questioning
76:25	26:5 27:10 37:8	prosecutor	pursuant	18:12 55:17
prevents	39:25	44:3,4 68:17	33:24 116:13	82:25 112:15
16:2	procedure	protecting	pursuing	Questionnaire
previous	13:17 40:15	26:20	98:15	7:4 8:4 36:14
7:17 103:13	43:3 45:3 62:5	protection	put	71:14 72:5,8
previously	proceed	26:7,9 27:13,14,	23:25 28:21	102:18 103:22
66:2	6:9 34:22	23 88:7 92:22	47:18 48:4,10,	questions
primarily	103:18 118:1	protections	11,15,17 59:14	18:13 21:6
95:1 105:7	119:21	76:15	60:22 61:4 69:4,	31:21 33:22
primary	proceeding	protective	8 101:22	46:22 49:23
44:13,22	51:3 121:1	115:3		55:19 56:9 58:6,
prior	process	proud	Q	23 59:4,9 65:6
17:13 37:12	7:11 8:1 17:19	11:19 18:18		68:9,14 81:18
44:20 45:13	22:18 33:24	19:9 32:2	qualifications	83:1 94:15,16
49:10 54:12	35:23 38:21	proven	18:8 21:16 34:9	97:25 98:17
64:16 82:9	40:15 45:4	15:12	43:25 55:2	104:3 107:18
111:17 118:20	54:18 55:13	provide	70:20 81:10	112:16 114:18
priorities	56:1 70:12	26:22 85:11	103:7 112:11	116:5
31:18 37:3	71:25 82:16	provided	116:24	quick
prioritizing	84:1,24 98:13,	67:15	qualified	31:23 85:23
30:23	20 111:23	providing	18:3,6 24:13	quicker
private	115:14	84:13	25:2,3 30:12	27:13
25:13 26:3 28:1	professional	proxy	31:13 54:25	quickly
31:4 32:14 41:7	18:4 38:8 46:10	120:7,22	55:5 81:9,12	10:17 15:13
43:21 56:24	55:2,10 81:14	psychology	112:6,9 118:8	27:15 99:10
60:10 61:15	104:24 112:7	21:2	119:24 120:2,3,	
64:12,15 70:1,2	professors	public	5,8,18	R
77:13 89:23	86:7	33:15 43:21	qualify	
100:16	program	48:24 57:3 74:2	77:10,15 85:16	R-A-M-E
privately	84:7 86:8,15,22	83:21 86:2,3	104:24	35:4
89:24	87:7	91:21 105:9	quarter	race
privilege	promotes	pull	42:1	31:13 51:16
99:3	16:24,25	93:4	quarterly	52:3 57:4,13
pro	pronounce	pulled	91:12	113:10 118:14
15:8 80:13 91:8	20:1 35:2	6:16 66:4	question	120:9
99:21	proper		25:22 26:5	radar
probate	10:12 52:5	<b>pulling</b> 79:3 93:12	28:16 29:3 34:8	32:24
40:4	property		64:2 65:18,22	raise
problem	53:9 63:19,21	<b>purposes</b>	88:14 94:18	6:23 23:23 35:7
46:21 57:15	77:17 108:18	8:3,6 36:13,16	98:4 112:19,25	71:5 102:13
	1	1	1	'

119:25 120:6	26:8 51:8 65:12	recognizing	120:6	remembered
raised	87:13	26:21 36:9	regional	9:21 44:4
18:10 55:15	readily	recommendatio	84:6	remind
59:18 75:7,11,	55:13	n	regular	33:24 70:12
13 82:23 112:13	ready	50:15	13:14 14:13	71:8 101:10
raises	7:4 8:1 38:15	recommendatio	63:25	116:13
23:24	39:5	ns	regularly	removed
Rame	real	50:14	13:10 14:14	69:4,15 99:5
34:24 35:4,5,9	31:23 39:24	recommended	reiterate	115:2
36:13,16 37:23	40:6 42:16	22:19	28:12	removing
43:16,22 44:3,6	58:25 85:23	record	relate	115:17
118:17	realize	6:6,7 7:5,10	100:3	render
ran	12:17 38:14	18:9,16,17 34:8,	related	90:1
37:3 47:12,13	101:16	19,20 35:19	65:24 74:13	replicate
56:24 57:2,3	realized	37:16,20,23	75:9	29:15
random	39:8	43:13,14 55:15	relates	report
101:4	reason	70:19,24 71:3,	75:3	55:25 57:21
Rankin	15:16 24:5	24 73:12,16,19	relations	67:15,16 68:3
6:1,5,14,16,23	53:18	81:7 82:22 96:6	64:14	116:24
7:2,7,9 8:15	reasons	101:10,18,23	relationship	reported
18:14 24:23	15:22,24	102:4 103:1	48:12,13 50:1,2,	18:2 55:10
25:19 28:9	recall	104:10,15,18	10	67:20 112:5
31:21,22 34:19,	58:7 115:24	112:12 116:23	relative	reporter
25 35:2,5,7,11,	116:7	117:24 118:12	69:9 92:3	71:9
18,21 37:10	receive	119:10 120:1,6	relatives	represent
43:9,12 55:20	85:13 89:16	recuse	96:2	70:3 76:9,20
65:10 68:9 70:9,	90:6,7	57:10	release	77:7,9 78:24
23 71:4,8,13,17,	received	red	116:23	85:4,15 86:25
19,23 72:12	10:8 11:1 17:12	87:3	religion	88:6 94:8,24
73:3,6,9 83:2	43:17 54:11	reelected	51:17	100:6
96:9 98:1,17	68:4 77:24 82:8	9:7	remain	representation
101:9,21 117:23	83:11 103:9,15	reelection	116:23	77:12
118:9,12,19,24	108:24 111:16	24:6 112:24		Representative
119:11 120:1,	receives	reference	<b>remaining</b> 18:6 65:20	6:1,10,13,18
15,19	120:2	50:19	112:9	21:6,7 24:21,23,
rare	recently	references	remarks	24,25 34:16
97:11	30:8 93:8	50:24	21:9	65:6,8 118:6
re-familiarize	recognize	referring		120:20
107:3	8:11 11:23	85:13 89:11	remember	represented
read	24:16	reflect	11:5 28:17,19	41:5 76:1,11,22
10:25 11:6 14:8	recognized	34:21 43:14	32:18 58:11 64:21 102:11	77:14 83:12,13
17:24 18:18	12:1 15:13	118:12 119:10	04.21 102.11	87:22 94:5 95:5

representing	resolve	17:21 37:11	rookie	safe
27:3 45:7 65:1,2	16:21	54:20 82:18	20:13	117:7
77:19 83:18,23	resolving	111:25	routinely	safety
84:14 89:20	68:19	reviewing	76:17 83:20	45:24 69:5 84:2
reproach	respect	100:22	99:16	Safran
109:3	44:9 80:15	reviews	rule	28:9,10 43:10
reputation	respectful	91:13	53:24 76:15	120:21
10:4,6 18:5 47:8	52:7	revolves	77:16 81:21	Salvini
50:11 55:3 60:7	respects	63:4	111:4	102:5,7,8,9,10,
81:15 112:8	78:7	revolving	rules	12,15,17,21,24
119:16	respond	68:20	16:19 40:14	103:2,5,21,24
request	48:18 59:8,12,	rewarding	43:2,3 45:2,3	104:1,8,9,10,19,
43:6 93:19	13 78:14	8:24 31:1	53:25 62:3,4	22 108:24 109:7
requested	responding	105:10	81:22 82:19	112:5,18 114:19
7:21 36:7 72:20	59:5	Richland	111:5	116:5,11,21
require	response	80:21	run	117:5,9,12,15
16:10	10:22 14:5	rights	20:25 27:23	120:14
required	46:17 47:9	40:15,16 41:10	64:4 109:20,21,	Salvini's
99:10	109:16	42:11 45:4	22	109:3
requirements	responses	51:12 61:23	running	sat
37:24 73:20	33:6	63:20,22 69:12	27:11 36:18	14:12 56:10
91:10 104:19	responsibility	76:24 77:1	37:4,9 39:15	save
requisite	49:13 85:2	92:24 93:1,2	47:22 56:19,20	15:25 16:1
38:9	responsible	96:4	59:13	sayers
research	90:24	rising	runoff	33:7
53:14	rest	18:20	48:25 57:5,6	scenarios
researching	15:15	road	runs	13:16
78:8	retained	98:8	10:12 52:20	schedule
reservations	115:4	robe	Rutgers	36:10
119:3	retire	33:16	85:23 86:15,19	school
reserve	9:20	Robertson	87:1	19:5 32:18 45:9
73:1	returned	6:9,15,22,25	Rutherford	83:7,8 84:2
reside	115:12	7:6,8 8:3,6,8,16,	6:10,13,18	86:9,23,24 92:2
37:17 104:11	returning	17 9:25 10:8,12	24:23,24	science
residence	115:16	14:3 15:1 17:2	Ryan	86:3,5
37:25 73:21	reunifying	18:2,14 19:13	58:18	score
104:20	26:24	21:7 24:24		18:19,24
resign	review	25:20 28:10,11	S	scorer
22:13	12:5 99:10	31:22 118:8		13:21
resigned	100:18	role	Sabb	screened
23:3	reviewed	9:14 31:15	94:9 96:9,10	15:1 38:20
		96:23 99:5	101:6 117:18,19	116:6,7

screening	76:23,25 88:6	73:23,24,25	setter	shots
9:25 17:9,14	89:13 91:7	74:5 75:18 79:7	30:9	91:3
22:18 33:23	92:11,25	86:25 101:2	setting	show
34:23 38:19	seeks	104:22 105:1,15	52:11	7:22 21:17
54:8,13 70:12	46:15	106:14,18	settle	76:15 77:16
82:5,10 98:19	select	110:21 113:3,5,	15:19 93:18	120:20
103:19 111:13,	22:14	12,15 114:8,9,	settlement	showing
18 116:19	Selection	11,15	15:18,22,25	24:17 51:25
screenings	103:6 117:25	served	16:1,8,24,25	shown
7:18 37:12	self-tortuous	84:11 105:21	settlements	9:9
103:13	12:12	service	15:20	side
search	selling	33:15 101:25	sexism	62:10
7:16 36:2	68:21	102:1 117:3	51:4	sides
103:12		services		47:13 92:24
season	seminar 67:12	24:19 26:6,20,	sexist 47:9	
20:10,11,12,20		23 74:3,12 75:3		sign 20:8 60:14
seat	seminars	76:8 77:19	shape	
38:3 109:21,23	13:11	78:22 83:9,23,	16:9,14	significance
112:21 113:10,	Senator	25 84:6,7,25	shared	12:16
14,15,24 114:1	6:19 25:19,20	85:2,8,11 89:15,	15:9	similar
118:14,17	28:3 33:3 34:15	22,25 90:2,8	sharing	23:12
119:25 120:11	68:9,11 94:9,11	91:7 96:19 99:2,	13:12	similarly
seconded	96:9,10,13	4,12,16 100:21	she's	16:13
6:2,19 34:16	101:6 114:18,19	101:5 106:3,5	7:23 19:13 21:2	simply
43:10 120:19	117:19 120:23	serving	50:19 57:11	12:25
secretary	senior	8:17,18 19:7	shift	Sinclair
39:17	18:21,23 20:8	94:13 105:3,9	59:7 61:6	31:24 32:4
Section	sense	109:15 113:8	shifted	single
17:7 54:6 82:3	119:12	session	64:11	9:8 12:5 20:15
111:11	sensitive	6:3,4,7,11,19,21	shining	106:2
secure	76:22	34:17,18,20	34:13	sir
77:12 85:6	separate	43:7,10,11,13	shock	22:14 25:23
Security	75:9 84:17 92:7,	117:19,22,24	32:6	31:20 35:1,14,
40:5 83:14	12	sessions	shooter	20 51:5 54:5,23
87:20 88:4 92:5	separation	40:3 45:23	45:9	57:6 58:23 61:5
	97:9,11	110:19	shooting	64:6 68:6,16
seek 41:5 44:5 70:2	September	set	50:8	70:6,22 72:11
109:10,22,23	11:2 68:2	33:13	short	86:3 102:24
113:11,14,24	servant	set all	27:17	112:22 113:9
115:11,14,24	43:21	67:2	shortcut	114:16 118:24
, ,	serve	sets	116:10	120:16
seeking	38:6 40:21	12:9		sisters
31:12 38:3 64:3		12.7		90:23

sit	87:20 88:3 92:5	93:22 95:20,21,	spoons	statement
23:10 39:14	106:3,4	23 99:2,3,15	62:19	7:3,25 8:7
51:19 60:21	software	100:20 101:14	spots	35:12,15,16
78:13	93:12	102:2 111:5	62:20	36:9,17 71:14
sitting	softwoods	112:1 117:4	spring	72:10,22 102:19
31:25 107:15	42:13	Spanish	20:19	103:17,25 104:6
situation	sole	21:3	stability	statements
14:19 29:16	106:2	Spartanburg	18:9 55:4 81:11	60:16
100:3 109:6	solicitor	26:12 31:24	112:12	states
situations	47:12 48:10	56:8 58:10	staff	19:8 47:7 53:17
100:23	66:17	speak	8:14 83:10	66:11 85:20
skills	solicitor's	7:21 13:10,13	84:19,25 90:9,	statewide
29:9 78:4 99:8	44:21 47:1,24	71:9 72:20	13 100:24	12:1 13:14
slate	48:24 50:12	93:11	star	15:16 22:22
118:2 120:24	51:1 57:19 64:5,	speaking	34:13	84:9,20 85:8
slightly	17,18 66:3	31:7 75:5	start	stating
18:18	solve	speaks	72:23 81:2 85:5	66:20
slipped	39:25	56:23	115:1,14	statistics
68:6	solving	special	started	15:19 79:3 93:4
small	37:8	37:2 39:2,11,13	9:17 47:13,14	status
40:7 43:1 46:25	someone's	56:21 76:1	52:12 80:19	46:16
59:16 66:13	52:6 92:25	83:24 84:15	84:21 93:24	statutes
smaller	son	92:3	95:1	42:25
42:3 88:4	19:21	specific	starting	statutory
smart	sort	11:16	12:16	37:24 73:20
32:21 43:21	12:11 30:10	specifically	starts	104:19
Smith	42:6 68:22	7:12 41:19	20:20	stay
6:1 21:6,7 24:21	sought	72:13 107:22	state	9:18 25:5 45:21
25:1 102:6,9,11,	17:12 54:11	speed	7:15 9:22 11:22,	98:14
13,17,22,25	82:8 111:16	34:12,15 107:19	25 15:15 23:5	step
103:3 104:5	South	spent	24:20 25:14	94:17 100:4
112:17,18	8:9 15:10 16:16	78:23 87:8 91:5	26:15,24 29:10	107:8 113:18
116:4,22 117:7,	17:22 23:3,5	96:19 99:7	36:2 37:16	stepping
10,13,17 118:6	24:10,20 34:2	spirit	39:22 52:24	109:14 110:21
120:20	39:22 45:8	34:1 70:13,16	72:17 73:12	112:25
Smoak	52:24 53:24	101:13 116:16	85:20 94:14	steps
52:15	54:21 70:14	split	103:11 104:10	80:14
smoothly	74:2 75:2,6,12	67:6	106:13,25 117:4	stick
52:20	78:22 81:21	spoke	stated	57:21
social	82:20 83:9,23	50:20 56:18	51:21 53:15	Stillwell
26:6,19 40:5	85:7 86:12,13,	91:17	55:9 60:15,17	107:15
49:9 83:14	24 89:14,24		65:10,22	
				•

stocks	43:5	supported	talked	92:24 96:3
108:13	submitted	57:10	33:14 110:10	terms
stone	47:15 49:15	supreme	talking	12:10 15:19
109:14 110:21	51:20 59:9	19:7 20:25 60:8	31:16 42:1 47:5	31:7 58:20 83:4
112:25	submitting	95:11,12,16,17	61:1 107:12	88:10,16 108:22
stops	17:3,11 54:2,10	96:3 113:22	tape	test
78:8	81:24 82:7	survey	62:19	13:17,18 22:22
stories	111:7,15	7:13 10:10 32:8	tardiness	68:23
26:16	substance	35:25 43:19	72:22	testified
straightforward	68:23	59:7 72:13	taught	65:14
58:24	substantial	103:9 119:17	59:18	testifies
stranger	105:21	surveys	taxation	7:1 35:9 71:7
16:10,13,15	substantially	10:9 43:18 61:4	22:25	102:16
strategy	36:10	77:24 108:25	telling	testify
91:15	successful	109:1	33:10	36:7 60:18 61:2
stress	113:6 115:9	swayed	temperament	63:13 103:17
16:1	successfully	46:14	10:18 14:5,6,15	testimony
stressful	38:20 115:8	sworn	18:6 21:20,21,	37:21 65:11,14
31:3	sued	6:25 7:3 8:6	24 44:2 55:8	66:20 69:18
stressors	60:6	35:9,12 36:16	78:4 80:7,24	71:20 73:17
8:22	suggesting	71:6,14,20	81:15 109:10	104:16
strong	91:23	72:10 102:15,19	112:9 119:4,9	tests
41:12,13 119:3	suggests	103:24	temporary	22:16,22,23
strongly	78:11	symbolic	63:17	text
14:14	summary	12:23	ten	18:23
struck	81:17	system	12:18 22:12	thankful
22:4	Super	8:10 25:24 26:7	24:1 44:10 56:7	105:13
struggle	35:7	30:23,24 93:12	Tenth	that's
74:23	supervise	95:2 105:23	37:19	6:16 9:15,23
student	90:13 96:25		tenure	11:10 12:20
20:23 86:4	supervising	Т	9:5	14:7 16:22 19:4
students	91:5		term	24:17 26:5
83:24	supervision	tab	58:3 113:8,12,	27:19 31:1
study	91:9	66:13	15 114:8,9,11,	32:10 37:4,8
7:14,17 35:25	supervisor	takes	16	38:5,13 44:19,
72:14 75:18	89:13	12:19 109:5	terminate	23 46:21 47:21
86:4 87:5	supervisory	taking	76:23 92:25	48:15 49:23
103:10,13 107:2	91:2 96:23	47:13 62:8 87:25	terminated	51:5 52:10 57:6
stuff	support		69:17	58:14,16,18
21:4	76:14 90:3,5	talk	termination	59:19 61:16
subject	92:7,13 97:15	23:15 24:4 28:6	41:10 61:22	62:13 65:17 66:16 67:1,4
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	/ /////////////////////////////////////	110:5,6	69:11 77:1	00.10 07.1,4

	1	1	1	1
68:8 69:15,23	Thirteenth	8,14 116:25	touched	trend
77:23 90:14	73:14 104:13	118:23 119:17	65:4	30:9
100:12 102:10	120:10	times	tourist	trending
104:5 106:12	Thomas	13:19,20 62:1	62:20	27:7
107:9,10 113:2,	30:8	69:7 79:14,15,	town	trial
4,19 114:15	thought	18 98:8 100:1,5	40:7	39:24 45:17
116:9 119:16	23:4,25 28:5	titled	Townville	66:9,15,17
theme	34:12 58:24	108:18	45:9 50:7	105:4 110:19
61:7 88:12	69:19 75:23	today	track	119:15
theory	76:5 109:17	18:12 21:9	62:19	trials
30:11	110:9	49:24 50:2,6	trade	39:21
there's	thoughts	55:18 71:21	32:9	trigger
16:17 24:4 30:6	24:2	72:20 82:25	traditional	6:17
42:21,23 43:4	thrilled	102:6 104:4	74:11	trouble
45:4 47:1,23	115:12	112:15 116:6,11	traditionally	107:7
67:20 115:15	thriving	118:2 119:1,6	77:18	trucks
they're	105:15	today's	trailers	42:6
22:24 30:19	thrown	120:24	42:6	true
41:9 47:5 51:15	37:5	told	training	10:15 48:3,5,9,
57:19 59:20	Tim	11:3 26:16 29:1	20:19	16 50:22 51:22
62:25 69:4 75:9	53:6	64:19 107:16	transferred	69:23
they've	timber	toll	50:17	trust
28:12 52:16	42:11 63:7,21,	39:4		70:23
107:8	22	Tommy	transmutation 108:16	turn
thing	time	32:11		21:5 32:8 104:6
15:17,21,22	9:3 10:21 11:17	tomorrow	transparent	113:25
17:1 21:2,14	12:3,20 14:7	120:24	99:19	turned
22:3 40:13 43:4	15:2,6,25 16:20	tonight	traveling	52:2
51:17 59:6 60:2,	27:12,17 28:21	117:16	12:3	type
12 66:8 101:3	29:9 32:7 37:3,5	tool	treat	9:10,11 41:21
108:7	39:6,7 51:7,8	59:25	110:3	42:2,17,20,23
things	52:17 56:5,25	top	treated	46:22 47:3 52:1
13:15 16:5	57:1,3,7 60:10	13:21,22,23	44:6 80:15	56:12 63:10,25
21:22 22:23,24	64:15 68:8 70:7	58:11 93:2,5	treatments	68:17 70:3
23:22 27:10,13	78:20,23 79:25	topic	69:5	89:12 109:10
30:18 38:12,17	81:4 86:17 87:8	62:7	treats	typed
40:1 48:11,15,	89:1,7 90:11	total	109:4	49:9
25 50:22 57:1	91:4,5 93:18	19:18 56:4	tremendous	types
59:2 60:11 62:1	94:4,7 98:9,24		29:2 105:8	74:6,7 76:17
75:8,10 77:2	99:7 102:11,23	<b>totally</b> 51:9 56:15 67:7	trenches	77:17 108:9,13
83:4 97:22	105:20,25	92:14	88:14	typically
108:17	106:22 115:2,5,	72.14		41:25 42:2,7
				41.23 42.2,1

59:8 62:22	unit	valuing	visitation	wanting
68:18,24	84:23 85:3	30:23	93:16	9:18
00.10,24	United	van	vocal	warranted
	19:8	53:11	31:10	31:25 100:19
U	units	variety	vocally	Washington
U.S.	84:22	108:3	15:12	19:21
45:20 50:19	University	vast	voiced	wasn't
ugly	86:5	74:8 76:16	47:24	28:24 30:5 39:5
16:5 116:1	unlawful	verdict	vote	weakest
Uh-huh	68:18	64:23	118:2,5,13,20	107:11
88:2 94:20	unlike	Verdin	118.2,3,13,20	wealth
ultimate	42:22	80:25	voted	43:23 100:10
26:24		verification	13:9 23:2	
ultimately	unprepared 10:21	7:15 36:1 72:17	votes	wealthy 62:24
29:6 45:23		103:10	6:7 34:21 43:14	
110:13	unqualified		117:25 120:2	wear
unanimously	21:20 55:7 119:8	<b>versed</b> 109:9		33:16 88:25
120:22			voting	wearing
unanswered	unrepresented	versus	120:7,21,22	33:15
38:18	91:8	109:19 110:9		web
uncomfortable	uphold	vested	W	86:20
14:19	52:10	49:2	Wait	website
understand	upstate	VICE-	117:13	66:4,5,14 67:3
17:21 40:11	18:1 54:24	CHAIRMAN	waited	week
46:23 47:3,5,17	55:25 57:8,21	102:6,9,11,13,	110:17	26:12 45:16
54:20 62:3,4	68:25 81:8	17,22,25 103:3	waive	79:18,19 90:8
70:18,21 82:18	93:24 112:4 119:7	104:5 112:17,18	72:25 73:1	week-long
89:19 96:18		116:4,22 117:7,	waiver	115:24
97:1,20 101:18,	upward	10,13,17	45:15	weeks
20 108:11	27:7	victims		45:12 63:17
111:25 116:20,	·	48:14 77:9	walked 6:15 56:18	weighed
21	V	view		110:24
understanding	vacant	44:9 116:17	walking	well-
91:11	<b>vacant</b> 114:1	viewing	9:12	112:5
Understood		66:14	walks	well-qualified
34:10	<b>vacuum</b> 74:22 75:7	violation	56:23	109:8
uneasiness		34:2 70:13,17	Walterboro	Western
55:12 58:1	valuation	101:15	52:15	84:8
	23:1	violations	wanted	we'll
uneasy 58:3	valued	116:17	47:25 60:18	16:23 35:21
	108:14	violence	68:13 86:10,11	71:24 73:6
<b>unhappy</b> 11:12	values	85:14	98:6,13 114:7	103:4 104:6
	108:8		119:10	

116:17	witnesses	50:18 75:21		you'll
we're	45:18 103:16	86:5	Y	7:9 35:21 71:23
6:2,5 7:13,23	Wofford	workers		98:5 103:3
12:3 16:16,19,	18:21 19:20	40:5	year	you're
20,23 23:8 24:5	20:23,24	working	20:9,14,21	22:2 23:2 24:13,
28:3 42:10	Wofford/	10:19 14:25	26:10 32:18	18 31:23 32:1
63:17,18,19	carolina	33:11 39:25	45:10,15 78:23	33:19 36:11
66:24 85:8,17,	18:20	48:12,13 50:9,	79:2 83:9,15	40:15,17 42:3,7
18 103:9	women	19 55:11 57:15	84:20 89:5,6	44:25 45:2,17
we've	29:24 47:8	84:7 85:5	94:6 97:9,11	47:9 62:7,8
93:21 101:22	51:12	works	109:20,21	63:1,23 66:11
103:14	wonderful	46:9 58:10	113:25 116:8,9	81:19 89:20
what's	10:17 21:24	world	years	90:13 91:2,3,24
12:6 29:15	107:17	8:24 39:24	8:17 13:2,3	98:21 112:20
38:13 63:18	wondering	worldwide	22:7,12 25:4	you've
110:17	87:4	86:20	28:20 32:13,14	7:22 24:11 26:1,
When's	won't	worn	36:20 37:25	2 33:13 67:12,
89:7	71:10 112:24	36:20	44:19 45:10	19 78:10 83:5
where's	117:1	worth	46:7 50:18	88:17,18,20
42:23	woods	42:2,14 63:24	62:16 64:24 66:2 67:12	90:1,19 94:16,
white	63:25	wouldn't	73:21 78:3,19,	17 96:22,25
87:3	word	27:24 32:22	21 79:7,12,17	97:13,14,15,16
who's	56:22 71:10	48:9 77:7,18	89:9 90:21	98:8,14 114:3,6
12:21,22 18:21	words	85:15 93:9	92:17,20,21	y'all
19:21 24:4 30:4	8:20 9:13 24:22	wound	93:10 96:19,22	19:17 34:13
53:6	29:17	50:14	97:5 98:25	39:2 47:17 66:9 90:1 93:13 97:8
wide	work	wounds	104:20 105:17	90:1 93:13 97:8 98:17 102:1,12
108:3	11:19 12:4,11,	16:2,3	106:24 108:20	114:11 117:6
wife	23 14:17,20	wow	113:25 115:20,	120:24
7:23 33:10	39:10 40:3,7,25	19:16 21:4	23	120.24
William	41:20 44:24,25	write	young	
20:1	45:6 50:6 51:22	12:18 60:23,25	25:19,20 58:15	
willingness	59:16 60:3	writing	68:10,11 94:10,	
98:7	61:19 64:3	12:12,17	11 114:10,18,19	
win	75:25 78:8 83:6,	written	younger	
47:25	19 84:25 86:4	10:19 44:11	79:16	
Winthrop	90:7 100:20	78:9 81:16	youngest	
86:4,10	105:23 106:13,	95:15,17 109:11	19:20	
wired	21 107:23 109:3	wrong	Young's	
31:5	114:5	15:12 51:18	96:13	
wiser	worked	69:19	you'd	
39:8	46:25 47:1		11:6 113:2	